



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

September 24, 2014

Ms. Leticia D. McGowan  
School Attorney  
Dallas Independent School District  
3700 Ross Avenue  
Dallas, Texas 75204

OR2014-16996

Dear Ms. McGowan:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 537048 (Orr# 13153).

The Dallas Independent School District (the "district") received a request for the following information pertaining to a named employee: (1) all documents related to his administrative leave/termination; (2) his statement regarding a specified incident; (3) his performance appraisals for a specified school year; and (4) his campus and district personnel files. You state you will make some information available to the requestor. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.135 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note the United States Department of Education Family Policy Compliance Office (the "DOE") has informed this office that the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act ("FERPA"), 20 U.S.C. § 1232g, does not permit state and local educational authorities to disclose to this office, without parental consent, unredacted, personally identifiable information contained in education records for the purpose of our review in the open records ruling process under the Act.<sup>1</sup> Consequently, state and local educational authorities that

---

<sup>1</sup>A copy of this letter may be found on the Office of the Attorney General's website: <http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/20060725usdoe.pdf>.

receive a request for education records from a member of the public under the Act must not submit education records to this office in unredacted form, that is, in a form in which “personally identifiable information” is disclosed. *See* 34 C.F.R. § 99.3 (defining “personally identifiable information”); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 224 (1979) (student’s handwritten comments protected under FERPA because they would make identity of student easily traceable through handwriting, style of expression, or particular incidents related in the comments). The submitted information may contain unredacted education records. Because our office is prohibited from reviewing these records to determine the applicability of FERPA, we will not address the applicability of FERPA to any of the submitted records. Such determinations under FERPA must be made by the educational authority in possession of such records. We will, however, address the applicability of the claimed exceptions to the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from public disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. This section encompasses information protected by other statutes, such as section 261.201 of the Family Code, which provides in part as follows:

[T]he following information is confidential, is not subject to public release under Chapter 552, Government Code, and may be disclosed only for purposes consistent with this code and applicable federal or state law or under rules adopted by an investigating agency:

- (1) a report of alleged or suspected abuse or neglect made under this chapter and the identity of the person making the report; and
- (2) except as otherwise provided in this section, the files, reports, records, communications, audiotapes, videotapes, and working papers used or developed in an investigation under this chapter or in providing services as a result of an investigation.

Fam. Code § 261.201(a). You assert the submitted information is confidential under section 261.201 of the Family Code. *See id.* § 261.001(1) (defining “abuse” for purposes of chapter 261 of the Family Code); *see also id.* § 101.003(a) (defining “child” for purposes of this section as person under 18 years of age who is not and has not been married or who has not had the disabilities of minority removed for general purposes). We note the district is not an agency authorized to conduct an investigation under chapter 261 of the Family Code. *See id.* § 261.103 (listing agencies that may conduct child abuse investigations). You state the information was obtained from the Dallas Police Department (DPD), the Texas Department of Family and Protective Services (“DFPS”), or the district’s police department (the “department”). You also state the district has on staff an employee who is shared with DFPS to receive and investigate child abuse claims. Upon review, we find the district’s “Suspected Child Abuse Reporting Form,” which we have marked, was not obtained from

DPD, DFPS, or the department. We are unable to determine whether this report was produced to DPD, DFPS, or the department. Accordingly, we rule in the alternative. To the extent the marked report was produced to DPD, DFPS, or the department, we find this information consists of information used or developed in an investigation of alleged or suspected child abuse under chapter 261 and must be withheld in its entirety under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with subsection 261.201(a)(2) of the Family Code.

In the event the marked report was not produced to DPD, DFPS, or the department, then this information does not consist of information used or developed in an investigation of alleged or suspected child abuse under chapter 261 of the Family Code and may not be withheld in its entirety based on subsection 261.201(a)(2). In that instance, the district must withhold the identifying information of the person who reported alleged or suspected abuse or neglect to Child Protective Services (“CPS”), which we have marked in the report, under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with subsection 261.201(a)(1) of the Family Code. In either instance, the district must withhold the identifying information of the person who reported alleged or suspected abuse or neglect to CPS, which we have marked in the remaining information, under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with subsection 261.201(a)(1) of the Family Code. However, none of the remaining information is confidential under section 261.201 of the Family Code and none of it may be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code on that basis.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses section 261.101 of the Family Code, which provides the identity of an individual making a report under chapter 261 is confidential. *See id.* § 261.101(d). As noted above, the district is not an agency authorized to conduct a chapter 261 investigation. *See id.* § 261.103. Upon review, we find none of the remaining information contains the identifying information of an individual who made a report under chapter 261 of the Family Code. Thus, the district may not withhold any of the remaining information under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 261.101(d).

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information that is (1) highly intimate or embarrassing, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person and (2) not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be satisfied. *Id.* at 681-82. Types of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court are delineated in *Industrial Foundation*. *Id.* at 683. This office has also found that common-law privacy generally protects the identifying information of juvenile victims of abuse or neglect. *See* Open Records Decision No. 394 (1983); *cf.* Fam. Code § 261.201. We note the remaining information contains the identifying information of a juvenile victim of abuse. Accordingly, the district must withhold the name of the juvenile victim in the remaining

information, as well as the telephone numbers and name of the victim's mother, under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.

Section 552.135 of the Government Code provides in part the following:

(a) "Informer" means a student or former student or an employee or former employee of a school district who has furnished a report of another person's or persons' possible violation of criminal, civil, or regulatory law to the school district or the proper regulatory enforcement authority.

(b) An informer's name or information that would substantially reveal the identity of an informer is excepted from [required public disclosure].

(c) Subsection (b) does not apply:

(1) if the informer is a student or former student, and the student or former student, or the legal guardian, or spouse of the student or former student consents to disclosure of the student's or former student's name; or

(2) if the informer is an employee or former employee who consents to disclosure of the employee's or former employee's name; or

(3) if the informer planned, initiated, or participated in the possible violation.

Gov't Code § 552.135(a)-(c). Because the legislature limited the protection of section 552.135 to the identity of a person who reports a possible violation of "law," a school district that seeks to withhold information under that exception must clearly identify to this office the specific civil, criminal, or regulatory law that is alleged to have been violated. *See id.* § 552.301(e)(1)(A). You state the remaining information identifies students and employees who reported alleged violations of criminal and civil laws. We note, however, the individuals at issue were witnesses to the incident at issue but did not report the alleged violation of law. Therefore, the district has failed to demonstrate how any of the remaining information at issue reveals the identity of an informer for purposes of section 552.135. Therefore, the district may not withhold the remaining information on that ground.


In summary, if the submitted child abuse report, which we have marked, was produced to DPD, DFPS, or the department, the district must withhold this report in its entirety under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with subsection 261.201(a)(2) of the Family Code. If the marked report was not produced to DPD, DFPS, or the department, the district must withhold the information we have marked in the report under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with subsection 261.201(a)(1) of

the Family Code. In either instance, the district must: (1) withhold the identifying information of the person who reported alleged or suspected abuse or neglect to CPS, which we have marked in the remaining information, under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with subsection 261.201(a)(1) of the Family Code; (2) withhold the name of the juvenile victim in the remaining information, as well as the telephone numbers and the name of the victim's mother, under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy; and (3) must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Tamara H. Holland  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

THH/bhf

Ref: ID# 537048

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)