



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

October 29, 2014

Mr. Alexander S. Berk
Counsel for Bonham Independent School District
Walsh, Anderson, Gallegos, Green and Treviño, P.C.
P.O. Box 168046
Irving, Texas 75016-8046

OR2014-19485

Dear Mr. Berk:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 541155.

The Bonham Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request for (1) a specified letter from the superintendent to the requestor, (2) all documented CPI training and recertification dates, (3) all documented disciplinary actions, (4) all e-mails associated with the requestor, (5) all documented awards during employment, and (6) all documentation of the requestor performing restraints during a specified time period. You claim the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 through 552.148 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim.

Initially, we note the district seeks to withdraw its request for an open records decision because the district asserts the request for information was withdrawn by operation of law when the requestor failed to timely respond to a cost estimate for providing the requested records. Upon review of a copy of the cost estimate, we find it does not comply with the requirements of section 552.2615(a) of the Government Code. *See* Gov't Code § 552.2615(a). Accordingly, we conclude the request for information was not withdrawn by operation of law. *See id.* § 552.2615(b).

We must address the district's obligations under the Act. Section 552.301 of the Government Code prescribes the procedures that a governmental body must follow in asking

this office to decide whether requested information is excepted from public disclosure. *See Id.* § 552.301. Pursuant to section 552.301(e), a governmental body is required to submit to this office within fifteen business days of receiving an open records request (1) written comments stating the reasons why the stated exceptions apply that would allow the information to be withheld, (2) a copy of the written request for information, (3) a signed statement or sufficient evidence showing the date the governmental body received the written request, and (4) a copy of the specific information requested or representative samples, labeled to indicate which exceptions apply to which parts of the documents. *Id.* § 552.301(e). In this instance, you state the district received the request for information on August 6, 2014. As of the date of this letter, you have not submitted for our review written comments stating the reasons why the claimed exceptions apply, or a copy or representative sample of the information requested. Consequently, we find the district failed to comply with the requirements of section 552.301 of the Government Code.

Pursuant to section 552.302 of the Government Code, a governmental body's failure to comply with section 552.301 results in the legal presumption the requested information is public and must be released unless a compelling reason exists to withhold the information from disclosure. *See id.* § 552.302; *Simmons v. Kuzmich*, 166 S.W.3d 342, 350 (Tex. App.—Fort Worth 2005, no pet.); *Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379, 381-82 (Tex. App.—Austin 1990, no writ) (governmental body must make compelling demonstration to overcome presumption of openness pursuant to statutory predecessor to section 552.302); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 630 (1994). Generally, a compelling reason to withhold information exists where some other source of law makes the information confidential or where third party interests are at stake. *See* Open Records Decision No. 150 at 2 (1977). Because the district has failed to comply with the procedural requirements of the Act, the district has waived all of its discretionary exceptions to disclosure. *See* Open Records Decision No. 663 at 5 (1999) (untimely request for decision resulted in waiver of discretionary exceptions). Although the district also raises mandatory exceptions to disclosure, because you have not submitted the requested information for our review, we have no basis for finding any of the information excepted from disclosure or confidential by law. Thus, we have no choice but to order the requested information released pursuant to section 552.302. If you believe the information is confidential and may not lawfully be released, you must challenge this ruling in court pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/>

[orl_ruling_info.shtml](#), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Meredith L. Coffman', with a long, sweeping flourish extending to the right.

Meredith L. Coffman
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

MLC/dls

Ref: ID# 541155

c: Requestor