



January 5, 2015

Ms. Ruth H. Soucy  
Deputy General Counsel for Open Records  
Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts  
P.O. Box 13528  
Austin, Texas 78711-3528

OR2015-00060

Dear Ms. Soucy:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 548831 (Comptroller ID# 10894013402).

The Texas Comptroller for Public Accounts (the "comptroller") received a request for bid tabulations and proposals submitted in relation to a specified request for proposals. You state the comptroller will release some information to the requestor. Although you take no position with respect to the public availability of the submitted information, you state the proprietary interests of certain third parties might be implicated. Accordingly, you notified Open Text, Inc.; AMCAD; doc2efile, Inc.; Kofile Solutions, Inc; ImageAPI, LLC ("Image"); and Oveana Global Business Services ("Oveana") of the request and of their right to submit arguments to this office explaining why their information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in certain circumstances). We have received arguments submitted by Image and Oveana. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this

letter, we have only received arguments from Image and Oveana. Thus, none of the remaining third parties have demonstrated they have a protected proprietary interest in any of the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110(a)-(b); Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the comptroller may not withhold the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interests any of the remaining third parties may have in the information.

Next, Image seeks to withhold information the comptroller did not submit for our review. Because such information was not submitted by the governmental body, this ruling does not address that information and is limited to the information submitted as responsive by the comptroller. *See Gov't Code* § 552.301(e)(1)(D) (governmental body requesting decision from Attorney General must submit copy of specific information requested).

We understand Image and Oveana to argue portions of their information are confidential under section 552.110 of the Government Code. Section 552.110 protects (1) trade secrets and (2) commercial or financial information the disclosure of which would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained. *See Gov't Code* § 552.110(a)-(b). Section 552.110(a) protects trade secrets obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision. *Id.* § 552.110(a). The Texas Supreme Court has adopted the definition of trade secret from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts, which holds a trade secret to be:

any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business . . . in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business. . . . A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business. . . . [It may] relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 776 (Tex. 1958). In determining whether particular information constitutes a trade secret, this office considers the Restatement's definition of trade secret as well as the

Restatement's list of six trade secret factors.<sup>1</sup> RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b. This office must accept a claim that information subject to the Act is excepted as a trade secret if a *prima facie* case for the exception is made and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. *See* Open Records Decision No. 552 at 5 (1990). However, we cannot conclude section 552.110(a) is applicable unless it has been shown the information meets the definition of a trade secret and the necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish a trade secret claim. Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983). We note pricing information pertaining to a particular contract is generally not a trade secret because it is "simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business," rather than "a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business." RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b; *see also Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d at 776; Open Records Decision Nos. 255 (1980), 232 (1979), 217 (1978).

Section 552.110(b) protects "[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained[.]" Gov't Code § 552.110(b). This exception to disclosure requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. *Id.*; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 661 at 5 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm).

Oveana contends some of its information is commercial or financial information, the release of which would cause the company substantial competitive harm. Upon review of Oveana's arguments under section 552.110(b), we conclude it has established the release of its customer information would cause it substantial competitive injury. Accordingly, to the extent Oveana's customer information is not publicly available on the company's website, the comptroller must withhold Oveana's customer information, which we have marked, under section 552.110(b). To the extent Oveana's customer information is publicly available on the company's website, the comptroller may not withhold such information under

---

<sup>1</sup>The Restatement of Torts lists the following six factors as indicia of whether information constitutes a trade secret:

- (1) the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company];
- (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and other involved in [the company's] business;
- (3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;
- (4) the value of the information to [the company] and [its] competitors;
- (5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing the information;
- (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b; *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 319 at 2 (1982), 306 at 2 (1982), 255 at 2 (1980).

section 552.110(b). However, we find Oveana has not made the specific factual or evidentiary showing required by section 552.110(b) that release of any of Oveana's remaining information would cause the company substantial competitive harm. *See* Open Records Decision No. 319 at 3 (1982) (statutory predecessor to section 552.110 generally not applicable to information relating to organization and personnel, market studies, professional references, qualifications and experience, and pricing). Additionally, we conclude neither Image nor Oveana has established any portion of their remaining information constitutes trade secrets for purposes of section 552.110(a). Accordingly, none of the remaining information at issue may be withheld under section 552.110 of the Government Code.

Section 552.136 of the Government Code provides, "[n]otwithstanding any other provision of [the Act], a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential." Gov't Code § 552.136(b); *see id.* § 552.136(a) (defining "access device"). This office has concluded insurance policy numbers constitute access device numbers for purposes of section 552.136. Thus, the comptroller must withhold the insurance policy numbers we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code.

We note some of the remaining information is subject to copyright law. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Open Records Decision No. 180 at 3 (1977). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.*; *see* Open Records Decision No. 109 (1975). If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit.

In summary, the comptroller must withhold the information we marked under section 552.110 of the Government Code. The comptroller must withhold the information we marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released; however, any information that is subject to copyright may be released only in accordance with copyright law.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for

providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

  
Joseph Behnke  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

JB/som

Ref: ID# 548831

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Stephen Darling  
Direct of Sales & Marketing  
Oveana Global Business Services  
123 West Mills Avenue  
El Paso, Texas 79901  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Karen Viscontini  
Proposal Manger  
Image API LLC  
2002 Old St. Augustine Road, Building D  
Tallahassee, Florida 32301  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Chuck Barnett  
Vice President, IOS  
OpenText, Inc.  
10537 Gulfdale Street  
San Antonio, Texas 78216  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Edward Berkowitz  
Executive Vice President of Operations  
AMCAD  
13650 Dulles Technology Drive,  
Suite 400  
Herndon, Virginia 20171  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Sherry McManus  
President  
doc2e-file, Inc.  
4500 South Wayside, Suite 102  
Houston, Texas 77087  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. John D. Woolf  
CFO  
Kofile Solutions, Inc.  
6300 Cedar Springs Road  
Dallas, Texas 75235  
(w/o enclosures)