



January 9, 2015

Ms. Melanie Barton  
Assistant District Attorney  
County of Dallas  
411 Elm Street, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Dallas, Texas 75202

OR2015-00395

Dear Ms. Barton:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 548596.

The Dallas County Health and Human Services Department (the "department") received a request for all Dallas County emails during a specified time period pertaining to the planning and preparation for Ebola cases.<sup>1</sup> You state some information will be released to the requestor. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.111 of the Government Code. Additionally, you notified the City of Dallas, the Texas Department of State Health Services, and the United States Centers for Disease Control of the request for information and of their right to submit written comments to this office stating why the submitted information should or should not be released. *See* Gov't Code 552.304 (interested party may submit written comments to this office stating why information should or should not be released). We have received comments from the

---

<sup>1</sup>We note the department sought and received clarification of the information requested. *See* Gov't Code § 552.222 (providing if request for information is unclear, governmental body may ask requestor to clarify request); *see also* *City of Dallas v. Abbott*, 304 S.W.3d 380, 387 (Tex. 2010) (if a governmental entity, acting in good faith, requests clarification or narrowing of an unclear or overbroad request for information, the ten-day period to request an attorney general ruling is measured from the date the request is clarified or narrowed).

Texas Department of State Health Services (“DSHS”). We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.<sup>2</sup>

Initially, we note some of the requested information may have been the subject of Open Records Letter Nos. 2014-23041 (2014), 2014-23231 (2014), 2014-23277 (2014), 2015-00197 (2015), 2015-00210 (2015), 2015-00212 (2015), and 2015-00220 (2015). We have no indication the law, facts, or circumstances upon which these prior rulings were based have changed. Accordingly, to the extent the requested information is identical to the information previously requested and ruled upon, the department must continue to rely on these rulings as previous determinations, and withhold or release the previously ruled upon information in accordance with them. *See* Open Records Decision No. 673 (2001) (so long as law, facts, and circumstances on which prior ruling was based have not changed, first type of previous determination exists where requested information is precisely same information as was addressed in a prior attorney general ruling, ruling is addressed to same governmental body, and ruling concludes that information is or is not excepted from disclosure). However, to the extent the information in the current request is not encompassed by the prior rulings, we will consider the submitted arguments.

Next, we note DSHS relies upon a previous determination issued by our office in Open Records Letter No. 2010-18849 (2010) as the basis for withholding the responsive information submitted by the department. In that ruling, we determined, in part, DSHS may withhold information subject to section 81.046 of the Health and Safety Code without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office. We note Open Records Letter No. 2010-18849 only applies to DSHS. Accordingly, Open Records Letter No. 2010-18849 does not authorize the department to withhold information subject to section 81.046 without requesting a ruling from this office. *See* Open Records Decision No. 673 (2001) (listing elements of second type of previous determination under section 552.301(a) of the Government Code). Thus, the department may not rely on Open Records Letter No. 2010-18849 as a basis for withholding any of the responsive information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses information made confidential by other statutes, such as section 81.046 of the Health and Safety Code, which provides in part:

(a) Reports, records, and information received from any source, including from a federal agency or from another state, furnished to a public health

---

<sup>2</sup>We assume that the “representative sample” of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

district, a health authority, a local health department, or the [Texas Department of State Health Services] that relate to cases or suspected cases of diseases or health conditions are confidential and may be used only for the purposes of this chapter.

(b) Reports, records, and information relating to cases or suspected cases of diseases or health conditions are not public information under [the Act], and may not be released or made public on subpoena or otherwise except as provided by Subsections (c), (d), and (f).

Health & Safety Code § 81.046(a), (b). In Open Records Decision No. 577 (1990), this office concluded any information acquired or created during an investigation under chapter 81 of the Health and Safety Code is confidential and may not be released unless an exception set out in the statute applies. *See* ORD 577; Health & Safety Code § 81.046(b)-(d), (f). You state the submitted information was furnished to or created by the department during an investigation under chapter 81 of an Ebola virus outbreak. Based on your representations and our review, we agree section 81.046 governs the release of portions of the submitted information. None of the release provisions of section 81.046 appear to be applicable. Accordingly, we determine the department must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 81.046 of the Health and Safety Code.<sup>3</sup> However, we find none of the remaining information specifically relates to cases or suspected cases of diseases or health conditions for purposes of section 81.046. Accordingly, the department may not withhold any of the remaining information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 81.046 of the Health and Safety Code.

Section 552.111 of the Government Code exempts from disclosure “[a]n interagency or intraagency memorandum or letter that would not be available by law to a party in litigation with the agency[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.111. This exception encompasses the deliberative process privilege. *See* Open Records Decision No. 615 at 2 (1993). The purpose of section 552.111 is to protect advice, opinion, and recommendation in the decisional process and to encourage open and frank discussion in the deliberative process. *See Austin v. City of San Antonio*, 630 S.W.2d 391, 394 (Tex. App.—San Antonio 1982, writ ref’d n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 538 at 1-2 (1990).

In Open Records Decision No. 615 (1993), this office re-examined the statutory predecessor to section 552.111 in light of the decision in *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ). We determined that section 552.111 exempts from disclosure only those internal communications that consist of advice, recommendations, opinions, and other material reflecting the policymaking processes

---

<sup>3</sup>As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining argument against disclosure of this information.

of the governmental body. *See* ORD 615 at 5. A governmental body's policymaking functions do not encompass routine internal administrative or personnel matters, and disclosure of information about such matters will not inhibit free discussion of policy issues among agency personnel. *Id.*; *see also* *City of Garland v. Dallas Morning News*, 22 S.W.3d 351 (Tex. 2000) (section 552.111 not applicable to personnel-related communications that did not involve policymaking). A governmental body's policymaking functions do include administrative and personnel matters of broad scope that affect the governmental body's policy mission. *See* Open Records Decision No. 631 at 3 (1995). Additionally, section 552.111 does not generally except from disclosure purely factual information that is severable from the opinion portions of internal memoranda. *Arlington Indep. Sch. Dist. v. Tex. Attorney Gen.*, 37 S.W.3d 152 (Tex. App.—Austin 2001, no pet.); ORD 615 at 4-5. But if factual information is so inextricably intertwined with material involving advice, opinion, or recommendation as to make severance of the factual data impractical, the factual information also may be withheld under section 552.111. *See* Open Records Decision No. 313 at 3 (1982).

Section 552.111 can also encompass communications between a governmental body and a third party, including a consultant or other party with a privity of interest. *See* Open Records Decision No. 561 at 9 (1990) (section 552.111 encompasses communications with party with which governmental body has privity of interest or common deliberative process). For section 552.111 to apply, the governmental body must identify the third party and explain the nature of its relationship with the governmental body. Section 552.111 is not applicable to a communication between the governmental body and a third party unless the governmental body establishes it has a privity of interest or common deliberative process with the third party. *See* ORD 561.

The department states the remaining information consists of advice, opinions, and recommendations relating to the department's policymaking. Further, we note some of the communications at issue involve third parties, with which the department shares a privity of interest. Upon review, we find the department may withhold the information we have marked under section 552.111 of the Government Code. However, we find the remaining information consists of either general administrative information that does not relate to policymaking or information that is purely factual in nature. Thus, we find the department has failed to demonstrate how the remaining information is excepted under section 552.111. Accordingly, the department may not withhold the remaining information under section 552.111 of the Government Code.

Section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure the home address and telephone number, emergency contact information, social security number, and family member information of a current or former employee or official of a governmental body who requests this information be kept confidential under section 552.024 of the Government

Code.<sup>4</sup> *See* Gov't Code § 552.117(a)(1). We note section 552.117 is also applicable to personal cellular telephone numbers, provided the cellular telephone service is not paid for by a governmental body. *See* Open Records Decision No. 506 at 5-6 (1988) (section 552.117 not applicable to cellular telephone numbers paid for by governmental body and intended for official use). Whether a particular item of information is protected by section 552.117(a)(1) must be determined at the time of the governmental body's receipt of the request for the information. *See* Open Records Decision No. 530 at 5 (1989). Thus, information may be withheld under section 552.117(a)(1) only on behalf of a current or former employee or official who made a request for confidentiality under section 552.024 prior to the date of the governmental body's receipt of the request for the information. Information may not be withheld under section 552.117(a)(1) on behalf of a current or former employee or official who did not timely request confidentiality under section 552.024. Therefore, to the extent the individual whose information is at issue timely requested confidentiality under section 552.024 of the Government Code, the department must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code; however, the department may only withhold the cellular telephone number we have marked if a governmental body does not pay for the cellular telephone service. Conversely, to the extent the individual at issue did not timely request confidentiality under section 552.024, the department may not withhold the marked information under section 552.117(a)(1).

In summary, to the extent the requested information is identical to the information previously requested and ruled upon, the department must continue to rely on Open Records Letter Nos. 2014-23041 (2014), 2014-23231 (2014), 2014-23277 (2014), 2015-00197 (2015), 2015-00210 (2015), 2015-00212 (2015), and 2015-00220 (2015) as previous determinations, and withhold or release the previously ruled upon information in accordance with those rulings. The department must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 81.046 of the Health and Safety Code. The department may withhold the information we have marked under section 552.111 of the Government Code. The department must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code if the individual whose information is at issue timely requested confidentiality under section 552.024 of the Government Code; however, the department may only withhold the cellular telephone number we have marked if a governmental body does not pay for the cellular telephone service. The department must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

---

<sup>4</sup>The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Lauren Dahlstein  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

LMD/akg

Ref: ID# 548596

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Warren M.S. Ernst  
City of Dallas  
1500 Marilla Street, Room 7DN  
Dallas, Texas 75201-6622  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Timothy E. Bray  
Deputy General Counsel  
Texas Department of State Health Services  
P.O. Box 149347  
Austin, Texas 78714-9347  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. David Daigle  
Centers for Disease Control  
1600 Clifton Road  
Atlanta, Georgia 30333  
(w/o enclosures)