



February 4, 2015

Ms. Nneka Kanu  
Assistant City Attorney  
Legal Department  
City of Houston  
P.O. Box 368  
Houston, Texas 77001-0368

OR2015-02221

Dear Ms. Kanu:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 553189.

The City of Houston (the "city") received a request for the name of the person operating a specified taxicab, the name of the company the specified taxicab is operating under, and any information provided to the city to obtain the license to operate the specified taxicab. You state the city will redact motor vehicle record information subject to section 552.130(c) of the Government Code, personal e-mail addresses subject to section 552.137 of the Government Code in accordance with Open Records Decision No. 684 (2009), and social security numbers pursuant to section 552.147(b) of the Government Code.<sup>1</sup> You state you will release some information to the requestor. You claim some of the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

---

<sup>1</sup>Section 552.130(c) of the Government Code allows a governmental body to redact the information described in section 552.130(a) without the necessity of seeking a decision from the attorney general. Gov't Code § 552.130(c). If a governmental body redacts such information, it must notify the requestor in accordance with section 552.130(e). *See id.* § 552.130(d),(e). Open Records Decision No. 684 is a previous determination to all governmental bodies authorizing them to withhold certain categories of information, including an e-mail address of a member of the public, under section 552.137 of the Government Code, without the necessity of requesting an attorney general opinion. *See* ORD 684. Section 552.147(b) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact a living person's social security number from public release without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office. Gov't Code § 552.147(b)

Section 552.101 of the Government Code exempts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses information made confidential by other statutes, such as the Medical Practice Act (“MPA”), subtitle B of title 3 of the Occupations Code, which governs release of medical records. Section 159.002 of the MPA provides, in relevant part:

(a) A communication between a physician and a patient, relative to or in connection with any professional services as a physician to the patient, is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

(b) A record of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that is created or maintained by a physician is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

(c) A person who receives information from a confidential communication or record as described by this chapter, other than a person listed in Section 159.004 who is acting on the patient’s behalf, may not disclose the information except to the extent that disclosure is consistent with the authorized purposes for which the information was first obtained.

Occ. Code § 159.002(a)-(c). Information that is subject to the MPA includes both medical records and information obtained from those medical records. *See id.* §§ 159.002, .004. This office has concluded the protection afforded by section 159.002 extends only to records created by either a physician or someone under the supervision of a physician. Some of the information at issue consists of reports of the results of drug tests. We note section 159.001 of the MPA defines “patient” as “a person who, to receive medical care, consults with or is seen by a physician.” *Id.* § 159.001(3). Because the individual at issue in the reports did not receive medical care in the administration of the drug tests, in these instances, the individual is not a patient for purposes of section 159.002. Upon review, we find the information we have marked in Exhibit 3 consists of a record of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that was created by a physician or someone under the supervision of a physician. Therefore, the city must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with the MPA. However, we find none of the remaining information in Exhibit 3 is subject to the MPA, and it may not be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code on that basis.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information that is (1) highly intimate or embarrassing, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be satisfied. *Id.* at 681-82. Types of information considered

intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court are delineated in *Industrial Foundation, Id.* at 683. We note, however, the dates of birth of members of the public are not protected by common-law privacy under section 552.101. *See* Open Records Decision No. 455 at 7 (1987) (home addresses, telephone numbers, and dates of birth not private). Upon review, we find none of the remaining information is highly intimate or embarrassing information of no legitimate public concern. Thus, this information may not be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.

In summary, the city must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with the MPA. The city must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Cristian Rosas-Grillet  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

CRG/cbz

Ref: ID# 553189

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)