



February 9, 2015

Ms. Sara Abbott McEown
Counsel for the Fort Worth Transportation Authority
Jackson Walker, L.L.P.
901 Main Street, Suite 6000
Dallas, Texas 75202

OR2015-02526

Dear Ms. McEown:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 552843.

The Fort Worth Transportation Authority (the "authority") received a request for vendor responses to a specified request for proposals, excluding the response from the requestor's company. Although you do not take any position as to whether the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under the Act, you state, and provide documentation showing, you notified third parties of the request for information and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released.¹ See Gov't Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) of the Government Code to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. See Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not

¹The notified third parties are NextBus, Inc.; and Radio Engineering Industries, Inc..

received comments from any of the third parties explaining why the submitted information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude these third parties have protected proprietary interests in the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the authority may not withhold the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interest these third parties may have in the information.

Section 552.136(b) of the Government Code provides, “[n]otwithstanding any other provision of [the Act], a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential.”² Gov’t Code § 552.136(b); *see id.* § 552.136(a) (defining “access device”). This office has concluded insurance policy numbers constitute access device numbers for purposes of section 552.136. *See* Open Records Decision No. 684 at 9 (2009). Thus, the authority must withhold the insurance policy numbers in the submitted information under section 552.136 of the Government Code.

We note some of the information being released may be protected by copyright. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Open Records Decision No. 180 at 3 (1977). However, a governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.*; *see* Open Records Decision No. 109 (1975). If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit.


In summary, the authority must withhold the insurance policy numbers in the submitted information under section 552.136 of the Government Code. The authority must release the remaining information; however, any information that is subject to copyright may be released only in accordance with copyright law.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

²The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,


Joseph Behnke
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JB/som

Ref: ID# 552843

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Lawrence Rosenshein
VP of Business Development
NextBus, Inc.
c/o Sara Abbott McEown
Counsel for the Fort Worth
Transportation Authority
Jackson Walker, L.L.P.
901 Main Street, Suite 6000
Dallas, Texas 75202
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Scott Hays
President
Radio Engineering Industries, Inc.
c/o Sara Abbott McEown
Counsel for the Fort Worth Transportation
Authority
Jackson Walker, L.L.P.
901 Main Street, Suite 6000
Dallas, Texas 75202
(w/o enclosures)