



February 20, 2015

Mr. Neal W. Adams
General Counsel for the Tarrant County Hospital District
Adams, Lynch & Loftin, P.C.
3950 Highway 360
Grapevine, Texas 76051-6741

OR2015-03399

Dear Mr. Adams:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 554834 (File No. 14221).

The Tarrant County Hospital District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request for the agreements, including extensions or amendments to agreements, discussed, considered, and approved by the joint board of managers and finance committee during a specified meeting. The district states it has released some of the requested information. Although the district takes no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted under the Act, it states release of the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of GE Healthcare and Transwestern. Accordingly, the district states, and provides documentation showing, it notified the third parties of the request for information and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from either of the third parties explaining why the submitted information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude either of the third parties has a protected proprietary

interest in the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the district may not withhold the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interest the third parties may have in the information. As no exceptions to disclosure have been raised, the submitted information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



David L. Wheelus
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

DLW/bhf

Ref: ID# 554834

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Ray A. Smith
GE Healthcare
2808 Pasadena Place
Flower Mound, Texas 75022
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Jim Sager
Transwestern
100 Throckmorton, Suite 700
Fort Worth, Texas 76102
(w/o enclosures)