



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

April 20, 2015

Ms. Lisa Harvell
Program Specialist
Texas Historical Commission
P.O. Box 12276
Austin, Texas 78711-2276

OR2015-07557

Dear Ms. Harvell:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 560641.

The Texas Historical Commission (the "commission") received a request for (1) specified forms submitted by third parties in their applications for the Federal Rehabilitation Tax Credit Program during specified time periods, (2) specified notifications of certification decision received by the commission during a specified time period, (3) specified portions of a specified tax credit application submitted to the commission during a specified time period, and (4) the certificates of eligibility for a specified tax credit issued by the commission. You state you do not have information responsive to categories three and four of the request.¹ Although you take no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted under the Act, you state release of some of this information may implicate the proprietary interests of numerous third parties.² Accordingly, you state you notified the third parties of the request for information and of their rights to submit arguments to this office as to why the information at issue should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305

¹The Act does not require a governmental body that receives a request for information to create information that did not exist when the request was received. *See Econ. Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266 (Tex. Civ. App.—San Antonio 1978, writ dismissed); Open Records Decision Nos. 605 at 2 (1992), 563 at 8 (1990), 555 at 1-2 (1990), 452 at 3 (1986), 362 at 2 (1983).

²We note the commission did not comply with the requirements of section 552.301 of the Government Code. *See* Gov't Code § 552.301(b), (e). Nonetheless, third-party interests can provide a compelling reason to overcome the presumption of openness caused by a failure to comply with section 552.301. *See id.* §§ 552.007, .302. Thus, we will consider whether the submitted information must be withheld under the Act on that basis.

permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have received comments from Commerce Statler Development, LLC (“Commerce”) and MBP Corporation (“MBP”). We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.³

Initially, we note some of the submitted information, which we have marked, is not responsive to the instant request because it does not pertain to the specified time period. This ruling does not address the public availability of any information that is not responsive to the request and the commission is not required to release such information in response to this request.

Next, we note an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body’s notice under section 552.305(d) of the Government Code to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have only received arguments from Commerce explaining why its information should not be released. Although we received comments from MBP, MBP did not raise any exceptions to disclosure or assert it has a protected proprietary interest in the responsive information. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude MBP or the remaining third parties have protected proprietary interests in the responsive information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the commission may not withhold the responsive information on the basis of any proprietary interest MBP or the remaining third parties may have in the information.

Section 552.110 of the Government Code protects (1) trade secrets and (2) commercial or financial information the disclosure of which would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.110(a)-(b). Section 552.110(a) protects trade secrets obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision. *Id.* § 552.110(a). The Texas Supreme Court has adopted the definition of trade secret from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts, which holds a trade secret to be:

any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one’s business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage

³We assume the “representative sample” of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business . . . in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business. . . . A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business. . . . [It may] relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 776 (Tex. 1958). In determining whether particular information constitutes a trade secret, this office considers the Restatement's definition of trade secret as well as the Restatement's list of six trade secret factors.⁴ RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b. This office must accept a claim that information subject to the Act is excepted as a trade secret if a *prima facie* case for the exception is made and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. *See* Open Records Decision No. 552 at 5 (1990). However, we cannot conclude section 552.110(a) is applicable unless it has been shown the information meets the definition of a trade secret and the necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish a trade secret claim. Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983). We note pricing information pertaining to a particular contract is generally not a trade secret because it is "simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business," rather than "a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business." RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b; *see also Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d at 776; Open Records Decision Nos. 255, 232 (1979), 217 (1978).

Section 552.110(b) protects "[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained[.]" Gov't Code § 552.110(b). This exception to disclosure requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing,

⁴The Restatement of Torts lists the following six factors as indicia of whether information constitutes a trade secret:

- (1) the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company];
- (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and other involved in [the company's] business;
- (3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;
- (4) the value of the information to [the company] and [its] competitors;
- (5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing the information;
- (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b; *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 319 at 2 (1982), 306 at 2 (1982), 255 at 2 (1980).

not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. *Id.*; *see also* ORD 661 at 5.

Commerce argues portions of its information consist of commercial information, the release of which would cause substantial competitive harm under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code. Upon review, we find Commerce has demonstrated the information at issue constitutes commercial or financial information, the release of which would cause substantial competitive injury. Accordingly, the commission must withhold the information pertaining to costs of rehabilitation for Commerce, which we have indicated, under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code.⁵ As no further exceptions to disclosure have been raised, the commission must release the remaining responsive information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Kenny Moreland
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

KJM/cbz

Ref: ID# 560641

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

⁵As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address the remaining argument against disclosure of this information.

Mr. Ruben Salinas
Ricchi Dallas Investments, LLC
1600 Pacific Avenue
Dallas, Texas 75201
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Mike Sarimsakci
Alterra 211 North Ervay, Texas, LLC
933 Hillcrest Boulevard
Millbrae, California 94030
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Chuck Hixon
Westdal Asset Management
3100 Monticello Avenue
Dallas, Texas 75205
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Brent D. Harris
President
Mills Plaza Properties, LP
1865 Northwestern
El Paso, Texas 79912
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Dana Harper
601 Jefferson, Suite 4000
Houston, Texas 77002
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. George E. Burchlaw
FC Continental Complex, LP
1717 Main Street, Suite 2560
Dallas, Texas 75201
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Ellen Hughes
3706 Eastledge Drive
Austin, Texas 78731
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Atil Karagoz
Polidev International, LLC
C/o: Ms. Lisa Harvell
Program Specialist
Texas Historical Commission
P.O. Box 12276
Austin, Texas 78711-2276
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Ed F. Vanston
Austin Apartments Ltd.
9202 Esplanade
Dallas, Texas 75220
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Karey Oddo
1003, FLP
2901 Bee Cave Road, Box B
Austin, Texas 78746
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Joseph B. Rozier
MBP Corporation
2228 Mechanic Street, Suite 204
Galveston, Texas 77550
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Brian Dennison
FW Hunter Plaza, LP
300 South Beach Street
Fort Worth, Texas 76105
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Chris Akbari
2101 Church Street, LLC
3735 Honeywood Court
Port Arthur, Texas 77642
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Lane Gaddy
Martin Building, LLC
1720 Magoffin
El Paso, Texas 79901
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Jonathan Grenader
Heights Clock Tower, L.L.C.
P.O. Box 980815
Houston, Texas 77098
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Robert M. McCarthy
Precision Projects, LLC
1208 West Magnolia Avenue, Suite 212
Fort Worth, Texas 76126
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Heath Cover
Rand Building, Ltd.
112 East Pecan, Suite 100
San Antonio, Texas 78205
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. R. Hal Moorman
Brenham Main Street Historic Preservation
P.O. Box 2006
Brenham, Texas 77833
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Julian Hawes
Provident Realty Advisors, Inc.
1 Lincoln Court
5400 LBJ Freeway, Suite 975
Dallas, Texas 75240
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Lawrence Hamilton
Hamilton Properties Corporation
1310 Elm Street, 170
Dallas, Texas 75202
(w/o enclosures)

Commerce NFLP, LLC
C/o: Peloton Management SA
P.O. Box 15039
San Antonio, Texas 78212
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Robert M. Wood
607 South Haswell
Bryan, Texas 77803
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Todd Smith
Purse Development Company, LLC
1907 Marilla Street, 2nd Floor
Dallas, Texas 75201
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Brandon Raney
BCL St. Anthony ML, L.P.
8000 IH-10 West, Suite 1200
San Antonio, Texas 78230
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Mehrdad Moayedi Salinas
Commerce Statler Development, LLC
1221 North-IH 35 East
Carrollton, Texas 75006
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Joseph G. Beard
Westdale Properties America, Inc.
3100 Monticello Avenue, Suite 600
Dallas, Texas 75205
(w/o enclosures)

Turner Real Estate, LLC
7609 Woodway Drive
Woodway, Texas 76712
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Tom Pauken
Vinyard Manor, LLC
701 South Pierce
Amarillo, Texas 79101
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Siddik H. Kesir
Target Design and Management, LLC
11110 Bellaire Boulevard, Suite 103
Houston, Texas 77072
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Robert J. Miklos
Counsel for Commerce Statler Development, LLC
Miklos Law, PLLC
1800 Valley View Lane, Suite 360
Farmers Branch, Texas 75234
(w/o enclosures)

