



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

April 22, 2015

Mr. Ben L. Stool
For the City of Bedford
Boyle & Lowry, L.L.P.
4201 Wingren Drive, Suite 108
Irving, Texas 75062

OR2015-07725

Dear Mr. Stool:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 566357.

The City of Bedford (the "city"), which you represent, received a request for information pertaining to a specified arrest. You state the city has released some of the requested information. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note the submitted information includes the blood test results of an individual's blood alcohol content. Section 724.018 of the Transportation Code provides "[o]n request of the person who has given a specimen at the request of a peace officer, full information concerning the analysis of the specimen shall be made available to the person or the person's attorney." Transp. Code § 724.018. The requestor's client is the person who provided the specimen at the request of a peace officer. Although you claim this information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code, a specific right of access provision prevails over the Act's general exceptions to disclosure. *See* Open Records Decision No. 451 at 4 (1986) (specific statutory right of access provisions overcome general exceptions to disclosure under statutory predecessor to Act). Therefore, the city must release the blood test results, which we have marked, pursuant to section 724.018 of the Transportation Code.

Next, we note the remaining information includes court-filed documents subject to section 552.022(a)(17) of the Government Code. Section 552.022(a)(17) provides for required public disclosure of “information that is also contained in a public court record[,]” unless the information is expressly made confidential under the Act or other law. Gov’t Code § 552.022(a)(17). Although you assert these court-filed documents are excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code, this section is a discretionary exception to disclosure that protects a governmental body’s interests and does not make information confidential under the Act. *See id.* § 552.007; Open Records Decision Nos. 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions generally), 177 at 3 (1977) (statutory predecessor to section 552.108 subject to waiver). Therefore, the city may not withhold the court-filed documents we have marked under section 552.108. We will address your argument under section 552.108 for the remaining information.

Section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime . . . if . . . release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.108(a)(1). A governmental body must reasonably explain how and why section 552.108 is applicable to the information at issue. *See id.* § 552.301(e)(1)(A); *Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). You state the remaining information relates to a pending criminal investigation. We note the information at issue includes a DIC-24 statutory warning and a DIC-25 notice of suspension. Copies of these forms were provided to the arrestee. You have not explained how releasing this information, which has already been seen by the arrestee, would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.108(a)(1). Accordingly, the DIC-24 and DIC-25 forms we have marked may not be withheld under section 552.108. However, based on your representation and our review, we find release of the remaining information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. *See Houston Chronicle Publ’g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975) (court delineates law enforcement interests present in active cases), *writ ref’d n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976). Thus, section 552.108(a)(1) is applicable to the remaining information.

We note, and you acknowledge, section 552.108 does not except from disclosure basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime. Gov’t Code § 552.108(c). Basic information refers to the information held to be public in *Houston Chronicle*. 531 S.W.2d at 186-87. *See* Open Records Decision No. 127 (1976) (summarizing types of information made public by *Houston Chronicle*). Thus, with the exception of basic information, the DIC-24 and DIC-25 forms, and the blood test results, the city may withhold the remaining information not subject to section 552.022(a)(17) under section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code.

In summary, the city must release the requestor’s client’s blood test results, which we have marked, pursuant to section 724.018 of the Transportation Code. The city must also release the court-filed documents, which we have marked, pursuant to section 552.022(a)(17) of the

Government Code. With the exception of basic information and the DIC-24 and DIC-25 forms, which must be released, the city may withhold the remaining information under section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code.¹

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,


Jennifer Luttrall
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JL/akg

Ref: ID# 566357

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

¹We note the information being released contains the requestor's client's driver's license information and social security number, to which the requestor has a right of access under section 552.023 of the Government Code. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.023(a), .130; Open Records Decision No. 481 at 4 (1987). We note section 552.130(c) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact information protected by section 552.130(a)(1) without the necessity of requesting a decision under the Act. *See* Gov't Code § 552.130(c). If a governmental body redacts such information, it must notify the requestor in accordance with section 552.130(e). *See id.* § 552.130(d), (e). Additionally, section 552.147(b) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact a living person's social security number from public release without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office under the Act. *See id.* § 552.147(b). Thus, if the city receives another request for this same information from a person who does not have such a right of access, sections 552.130(c) and 552.147(b) authorize the city to redact the requestor's client's driver's license information and social security number, respectively.