



KEN PAXTON  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

April 23, 2015

Ms. Amy L. Sims  
Deputy City Attorney  
City of Lubbock  
Office of the City Attorney  
P.O. Box 2000  
Lubbock, Texas 79457

OR2015-07835

Dear Ms. Sims:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 561220 (Lubbock File No. 1098).

The City of Lubbock (the "city") received a request for the following information regarding RFQ 14-11852-TS: 1) submitted proposals; 2) scoring sheets, scoring totals, bid tabulation forms, bid review forms, bid evaluations or other similar documents reflecting the review, evaluation, or scoring of the submitted proposals; and 3) the contract with the winning bidder. Although you take no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted under the Act, you state release of this information may implicate the proprietary interests of third parties. Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, you notified Conrac Solutions Project Delivery, L.L.C.; CooverClark; Demattei Wong Architecture; Leo A Daly; and PGAL of the request for information and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from any

of the third parties explaining why their information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude any of the third parties have a protected proprietary interest in the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the city may not withhold any of the information at issue on the basis of any proprietary interest any of the third parties may have in it.

Section 552.136 of the Government Code provides, “[n]otwithstanding any other provision of [the Act], a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential.”<sup>1</sup> Gov’t Code § 552.136(b); *see id.* § 552.136(a) (defining “access device”). This office has determined insurance policy numbers are access device numbers for purposes of section 552.136. Upon review, we find the city must withhold the insurance policy numbers within the submitted information under section 552.136 of the Government Code. As no other exceptions to disclosure have been raised, the city must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Kristi L. Godden  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

KLG/cz

---

<sup>1</sup>The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

Ref: ID# 561220

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Mark E. Pfeffer  
Owner, Principal  
Conrac Solutions Project Delivery, LLC  
P.O. Box 952  
Seattle, Washington 98111  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Carol Coover-Clark  
President  
CooverClark  
1936 Market Street  
Denver, Colorado 80202  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Wesley Wong  
Demattei Wong Architecture  
1350 Manufacturing Street, Suite 210  
Dallas, Texas 75204  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Jerry Voith  
Vice President, Managing Principal  
Leo A Daly  
5307 East Mockingbird Lane, Suite 400  
Dallas, Texas 75206-5117  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Jeffery A. Weiner  
Executive Vice President  
PGAL  
3131 Briar Park, Suite 200  
Houston, Texas 77042  
(w/o enclosures)