



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

May 6, 2015

Ms. Kristen Worman  
Deputy General Counsel  
Texas Real Estate Commission  
P.O. Box 12188  
Austin, Texas 78711-2188

OR2015-08869

Dear Ms. Worman:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 562530 (TREC ORR ID No. 20150219.1).

The Texas Real Estate Commission (the "commission") received a request for rate filings for specified products filed during a specified time period by Utility Service Partners dba National League of Cities Service Line Warrant Program ("Utility Service"), and American Water Resources ("American"). You state the commission has received communication from the requestor narrowing her request, therefore, the commission is withdrawing the portion of its request that pertains to American's rate filing information.<sup>1</sup> Although the commission takes no position as to whether the responsive information is excepted under the Act, you state release of the responsive information may implicate the proprietary interests of Utility Service. Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, the commission has notified the third party of the request for information and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why its information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have received comments from Utility Service. We have reviewed the submitted information and the submitted arguments.

---

<sup>1</sup>We note that, as a result of requestor's narrowing of the request, American's information is no longer responsive to the present request for information. The commission need not release non-responsive information in response to this request, and this ruling will not address it. *See* Gov't Code § 552.222 (providing if request for information is unclear, governmental body may ask requestor to clarify request).

Utility Service asserts portions of its information are excepted from disclosure under section 552.110 of the Government Code. Section 552.110 protects (1) trade secrets and (2) commercial or financial information the disclosure of which would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained. *See Gov't Code* § 552.110(a)-(b). Section 552.110(a) protects trade secrets obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision. *Id.* § 552.110(a). The Texas Supreme Court has adopted the definition of trade secret from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts, which holds a trade secret to be:

any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business . . . in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business . . . . A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business. . . . It may . . . relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 776 (Tex. 1958). In determining whether particular information constitutes a trade secret, this office considers the Restatement's definition of trade secret as well as the Restatement's list of six trade secret factors.<sup>2</sup> RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b. This office must accept a claim that information subject to the Act is excepted as a trade secret if a *prima facie* case for the exception is made and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. *See Open Records Decision No. 552 at 5 (1990)*. However, we cannot conclude section 552.110(a) is applicable unless it has been shown the information

---

<sup>2</sup>The Restatement of Torts lists the following six factors as indicia of whether information constitutes a trade secret:

- (1) the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company];
- (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and other involved in [the company's] business;
- (3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;
- (4) the value of the information to [the company] and [its] competitors;
- (5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing the information;
- (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b; *see also Open Records Decision Nos. 319 at 2 (1982), 306 at 2 (1982), 255 at 2 (1980)*.

meets the definition of a trade secret and the necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish a trade secret claim. Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983). We note pricing information pertaining to a particular contract is generally not a trade secret because it is “simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business,” rather than “a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business.” RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b; *see also Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d at 776; Open Records Decision Nos. 255 (1980), 232 (1979), 217 (1978).

Section 552.110(b) protects “[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.110(b). This exception to disclosure requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. *Id.*; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 661 at 5 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm).

Utility Service objects to the release of its information under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code. Utility Service relies, in part, on the test announced in *National Parks & Conservation Association v. Morton*, 498 F.2d 765 (D.C. Cir. 1974), concerning the applicability of the section 552(b)(4) exemption under the federal Freedom of Information Act to third-party information held by a federal entity. *See National Parks*, 498 F.2d 765. Although this office applied the *National Parks* test at one time to the statutory predecessor to section 552.110, the Third Court of Appeals overturned that standard in holding *National Parks* was not a judicial decision for purposes of former section 552.110. *See Birnbaum v. Alliance of Am. Insurers*, 994 S.W.2d 766, 776 (Tex. App.—Austin 1999, pet. denied). Section 552.110(b) now expressly states the standard to be applied and requires a specific factual demonstration that the release of the information at issue would cause the business enterprise that submitted the information substantial competitive harm. *See* ORD 661 at 5-6 (discussing Seventy-sixth Legislature’s enactment of Gov’t Code § 552.110(b)). The ability of a governmental body to continue to obtain information from private parties is not a relevant consideration under section 552.110(b). *Id.* Therefore, we will consider only the interests of Utility Service in the information at issue.

Upon review, we find Utility Service has demonstrated portions of its information consist of commercial or financial information, the release of which would cause substantial competitive harm. Therefore, the commission must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code. However, we find Utility Service has not made the specific factual or evidentiary showing required by section 552.110(b) that release of any of its remaining information would cause the company substantial competitive harm. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (for information to be withheld under commercial or financial information prong of section 552.110, business must show by specific factual evidence that substantial competitive injury would result from release of

particular information at issue), 509 at 5 (1988) (because costs, bid specifications, and circumstances would change for future contracts, assertion that release of bid proposal might give competitor unfair advantage on future contracts is too speculative). Accordingly, the commission may not withhold any of Utility Service's remaining information under section 552.110(b).


Utility Service contends its remaining information is a trade secret under section 552.110(a) of the Government Code. We find Utility Service has failed to establish a *prima facie* case that any portion of its remaining information meets the definition of a trade secret, and has failed to demonstrate the necessary factors to establish a trade secret claim for any of its information. *See* ORDs 402 (section 552.110(a) does not apply unless information meets definition of trade secret and necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish trade secret claim), 319 at 2 (information relating to organization, personnel, market studies, professional references, qualifications, experience, and pricing not excepted under section 552.110). Consequently, the commission may not withhold any of Utility Service's information under section 552.110(a) of the Government Code.

In summary, the commission must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code. The remaining responsive information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Rustam Abedinzadeh  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

RA/dls

Ref: ID# 562530

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)

Utility Service Partners, Inc.  
c/o Mr. Benjamin West  
Reed Smith, L.L.P.  
811 Main Street, Suite 1700  
Houston, Texas 77002-6110  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Robert F. Johnson III  
Gardere  
600 Congress Avenue, Suite 2900  
Austin, Texas 788701  
(w/o enclosures)