



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

May 26, 2015

Ms. Thao La
Senior Attorney
Legal Affairs
Parkland Health & Hospital System
5201 Harry Hines Boulevard
Dallas, Texas 75235

OR2015-10094

Dear Ms. La:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 565023 (DCHD# 15-21).

The Dallas County Hospital District d/b/a Parkland Health & Hospital System (the "district") received a request for information pertaining to the requestor's client. You state the district will release some information. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.103, and 552.111 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note the submitted information is subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code. Section 552.022(a)(1) provides for the required public disclosure of "a completed report, audit, evaluation, or investigation made of, for, or by a governmental body[.]" unless it is excepted by section 552.108 of the Government Code or "made confidential under [the Act] or other law[.]" Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(1). The submitted information consists of a completed internal investigation that is subject to section 552.022(a)(1) and must be released unless it is either excepted under section 552.108 of the Government Code or is confidential under the Act or other law. You do not claim section 552.108 for the submitted information. Although you assert this information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.103 of the Government Code and the deliberative process privilege encompassed by section 552.111 of the Government Code, these exceptions are discretionary and do not

make information confidential under the Act. *See Dallas Area Rapid Transit v. Dallas Morning News*, 4 S.W.3d 469, 475-76 (Tex. App.—Dallas 1999, no pet.) (governmental body may waive section 552.103); Open Records Decision Nos. 677 at 10 (2002) 542 at 4 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.103 may be waived), 470 at 7 (1987) (governmental body may waive statutory predecessor to section 552.111 deliberative process); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions generally). Therefore, the district may not withhold the submitted information under section 552.103 or section 552.111. However, you also raise section 552.101 of the Government Code for the submitted information, which protects information made confidential under other law. Accordingly, we will address your argument under section 552.101 for the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. This section encompasses information protected by other statutes, such as section 161.032 of the Health and Safety Code, which provides, in relevant part:

(a) The records and proceedings of a medical committee are confidential and are not subject to court subpoena.

...

(c) Records, information, or reports of a medical committee, medical peer review committee, or compliance officer and records, information, or reports provided by a medical committee, medical peer review committee, or compliance officer to the governing body of a public hospital, hospital district, or hospital authority are not subject to disclosure under [the Act].

Health & Safety Code § 161.032(a), (c). For purposes of this confidentiality provision, a medical committee “includes any committee, including a joint committee, of . . . a hospital [or] a medical organization [or] a university medical school or health science center [or] a hospital district[.]” *Id.* § 161.031(a). Section 161.0315 provides that “[t]he governing body of a hospital, medical organization, university medical school or health science center, . . . [or] hospital district . . . may form . . . a medical committee, as defined by [s]ection 161.031, to evaluate medical and health care services[.]” *Id.* § 161.0315(a).

The precise scope of the “medical committee” provision has been the subject of a number of judicial decisions. *See, e.g., Mem’l Hosp.—The Woodlands v. McCown*, 927 S.W.2d 1 (Tex. 1996); *Barnes v. Whittington*, 751 S.W.2d 493 (Tex. 1988); *Jordan v. Fourth Supreme Judicial Dist.*, 701 S.W.2d 644 (Tex. 1986). These cases establish “documents generated by the committee in order to conduct open and thorough review” are confidential. *Mem’l Hosp.*, 927 S.W.2d at 10; *Jordan*, 701 S.W.2d at 647-48; *Doctor’s Hosp. v. West*, 765 S.W.2d 812, 814 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1988). This protection extends “to

documents that have been prepared by or at the direction of the committee for committee purposes.” *Jordan*, 701 S.W. 2d at 647-48. Protection does not extend to documents “gratuitously submitted to a committee” or “created without committee impetus and purpose.” *Id.*; see also Open Records Decision No. 591 (1991) (construing statutory predecessor to Health & Safety Code § 161.032). Additionally, we note section 161.032 does not make confidential “records made or maintained in the regular course of business by a hospital[.]” Health & Safety Code § 161.032(f); see also *Mem’l Hosp.*, 927 S.W.2d at 10 (stating reference to statutory predecessor to section 160.007 of the Occupations Code in section 161.032 is clear signal records should be accorded same treatment under both statutes in determining if they were made in ordinary course of business). The phrase “records made or maintained in the regular course of business” has been construed to mean records that are neither created nor obtained in connection with a medical committee’s deliberative proceedings. See *Mem’l Hosp.*, 927 S.W.2d at 10 (discussing *Barnes*, 751 S.W.2d 493, and *Jordan*, 701 S.W.2d 644).


You inform us the district’s Board of Managers (the “board”) is appointed by the Dallas County Commissioners Court with the responsibility of managing, controlling, and administering the district. You state in furtherance of this duty, the board maintains overall responsibility for the implementation and maintenance of the Performance Improvement Plan. Further you state that, under the Performance Improvement Plan, the board provides authority to medical staff to establish and support medical committees to carry out quality and performance improvement activities district-wide. You explain the district’s Patient Safety and Risk Department and its Clinical Quality Management: Performance Improvement Department are organized under this structure to carry out the duties of the board.

You state the submitted information consists of information used by the Patient Safety and Risk, Performance Improvement, and Quality Safety committees in order to implement the steps necessary to improve the quality of care in district facilities. You state this information was prepared and collected in a sequence of activity wholly within the purview of duly established medical committees. Additionally, you state this information was “not prepared in the regular course of business, but reflect[s] the deliberative process [of] identifying incidents involving patient care, evaluating their causes and severity, and making recommendations on how to remedy the situation and reduce the likelihood of recurrence.” Based on your representations and our review, we conclude the district must withhold the submitted information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 161.032 of the Health and Safety Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Paige Thompson". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, sweeping initial "P".

Paige Thompson
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

PT/dls

Ref: ID# 565023

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)