



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

May 27, 2015

Ms. Cynthia Tynan
Attorney & Public Information Coordinator
University of Texas System
Office of General Counsel
201 West Seventh Street
Austin, Texas 78701-2902

OR2015-10308

Dear Ms. Tynan:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 564955 (OGC No. 160709).

The University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston (the "university") received a request for specified legal services contract(s) between the university and Beck Redden, L.L.P. ("Beck Redden"). Although you take no position as to whether the requested information is excepted from public disclosure under the Act, you state release of the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of Beck Redden. Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, you notified Beck Redden of the request for information and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from Beck Redden explaining why its information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude Beck Redden has any protected proprietary interest in the submitted information.

See id. § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the university may not withhold any of the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interest Beck Redden may have in the information. As no arguments against disclosure have been raised, the university must release the submitted information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Cristian Rosas-Grillet
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CRG/cbz

Ref: ID# 564955

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Alistair Dawson & Ms. Kate Skagerberg
Beck Redden, LLP
1221 McKinney Street, Suite 4500
Houston, Texas 77010-2010
(w/o enclosures)