



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

July 8, 2015

Mr. Benjamin V. Lugg  
Attorney  
City of San Antonio  
San Antonio Housing Authority  
P.O. Box 1300  
San Antonio, Texas 78295-1300

OR2015-13861

Dear Mr. Lugg:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 570938.

The San Antonio Housing Authority (the "authority") received a request for the winning proposal pertaining to a specified request for proposals. You state you will release some information to the requestor. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.110 and 552.128 of the Government Code.<sup>1</sup> You also state release of the submitted information may implicate the interests of New Orleans Teleport,

---

<sup>1</sup>We note the authority did not comply with section 552.301 of the Government Code in requesting a ruling. *See* Gov't Code § 552.301(e). Nonetheless, sections 552.110 and 552.128 of the Government Code can provide a compelling reasons to overcome the presumption of openness caused by a failure to comply with section 552.301. *See id.* §§ 552.007, .301, .302, .352. Furthermore, third party interests can provide a compelling reason to overcome the presumption of openness caused by a failure to comply with section 552.301. *See id.* § 552.302; Open Records Decision No. 150 at 2 (1977). Accordingly, we will consider whether the submitted information must be withheld under the Act on those grounds.

Inc. d/b/a CALLS PLUS (“Calls Plus”). Accordingly, you notified Calls Plus of the request for information and of its right to submit arguments stating why its information should not be released. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in certain circumstances). We have received comments from Calls Plus. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

We note Calls Plus objects to disclosure of information the authority has not submitted to this office for review. This ruling does not address information that was not submitted by the authority and is limited to the information the authority has submitted for our review. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.301(e)(1)(D) (governmental body requesting decision from Attorney General must submit copy of specific information requested).

The authority asserts the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.110 of the Government Code. However, section 552.110 protects only the interests of the third parties that have provided information to a governmental body, not those of the governmental body itself. Therefore, we do not address the authority’s argument under section 552.110.

Calls Plus asserts the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.110 of the Government Code. Section 552.110 protects (1) trade secrets and (2) commercial or financial information the disclosure of which would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained. *See id.* § 552.110(a)-(b). Section 552.110(a) protects trade secrets obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision. *Id.* § 552.110(a). The Texas Supreme Court has adopted the definition of trade secret from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts, which holds a trade secret to be:

any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one’s business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business . . . in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business . . . . A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business. . . . It may . . . relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 776 (Tex. 1958). In determining whether particular information constitutes a trade secret, this office considers the Restatement's definition of trade secret as well as the Restatement's list of six trade secret factors.<sup>2</sup> RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b. This office must accept a claim information subject to the Act is excepted as a trade secret if a *prima facie* case for the exception is made and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. *See* Open Records Decision No. 552 at 5 (1990). However, we cannot conclude section 552.110(a) is applicable unless it has been shown the information meets the definition of a trade secret and the necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish a trade secret claim. Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983).

Section 552.110(b) protects “[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.110(b). This exception to disclosure requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. *Id.*; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 661 at 5 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm).

Calls Plus argues some of its information constitutes trade secrets. Upon review, we find Calls Plus has failed to establish a *prima facie* case any of its information meets the definition of a trade secret, nor has it demonstrated the necessary factors to establish a trade secret claim for the information at issue. *See* ORD 402. Therefore, none of Calls Plus’s information may be withheld under section 552.110(a).

Calls Plus further argues some of its information consists of commercial information under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code. Upon review, we find Calls Plus has not made the specific factual or evidentiary showing required by section 552.110(b) that release of any

---

<sup>2</sup>The Restatement of Torts lists the following six factors as indicia of whether information constitutes a trade secret:

- (1) the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company];
- (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and other involved in [the company’s] business;
- (3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;
- (4) the value of the information to [the company] and [its] competitors;
- (5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing the information;
- (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b; *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 319 at 2 (1982), 306 at 2 (1982), 255 at 2 (1980).

of its information would cause the company substantial competitive harm. *See* ORD 661. Therefore, none of Calls Plus's information may be withheld under section 552.110(b).

Lastly, we address the authority's argument under section 552.128 of the Government Code. Section 552.128 is applicable to "[i]nformation submitted by a potential vendor or contractor to a governmental body in connection with an application for certification as a historically underutilized or disadvantaged business under a local, state, or federal certification program[.]" Gov't Code § 552.128. Section 552.128(c) provides:

[i]nformation submitted by a vendor or contractor or a potential vendor or contractor to a governmental body in connection with a specific proposed contractual relationship, a specific contract, or an application to be placed on a bidders list, including information that may also have been submitted in connection with an application for certification as a historically underutilized or disadvantaged business, is subject to required disclosure, excepted from required disclosure, or confidential in accordance with other law.

*Id.* § 552.128(c). In this instance, Calls Plus submitted its proposal to the authority in connection with a specific proposed contractual relationship with the authority. We therefore conclude the authority may not withhold any portion of Calls Plus's information under section 552.128 of the Government Code. As no other exceptions to disclosure have been raised, the authority must release the submitted information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Cristian Rosas-Grillet  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

CRG/cbz

Ref: ID# 570938

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Barbara Lamont  
President  
New Orleans Teleport, Inc. d/b/a CALLS PLUS  
201 B Travis Street  
Lafayette, Louisiana 70503  
(w/o enclosures)