



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

July 21, 2015

Ms. Paula Stewart
Records Supervisor
Tarrant Regional Water District
P.O. Box 4508
Fort Worth, Texas 76164-0508

OR2015-14828

Dear Ms. Stewart:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 572321.

The Tarrant Regional Water District (the "district") received a request for steel pipe purchase orders and invoices paid to date for IPL Section 15-01 and specified information pertaining to IPL Section 12-13.¹ You state you have released some information. Although the district takes no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted under the Act, it states release of the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of Barnard Construction Company Inc., Garney Companies, Inc., Webber LLC, and Western Summit Constructors, Inc. Accordingly, the district states, and provides documentation showing, it notified the third parties of the request for information and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and

¹We note the district sought and received clarification of the information requested. *See* Gov't Code § 552.222 (providing if request for information is unclear, governmental body may ask requestor to clarify request); *see also* *City of Dallas v. Abbott*, 304 S.W.3d 380, 387 (Tex. 2010) (holding when governmental entity, acting in good faith, requests clarification of unclear or overbroad request for public information, ten-business-day period to request attorney general opinion is measured from date request is clarified or narrowed).

explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.²

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from any of the third parties explaining why the submitted information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude any of the third parties has a protected proprietary interest in the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the district may not withhold the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interest the third parties may have in the information.

We note some of the submitted information may be protected by copyright. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Open Records Decision No. 180 at 3 (1977). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.*; *see* Open Records Decision No. 109 (1975). If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. The district must release the submitted information; however, any information subject to copyright may be released only in accordance with copyright law.


This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for

²We assume the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Ellen Webking
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

EW/som

Ref: ID# 572321

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Scott A. Parrish
Chief Operating Officer
Garney Companies, Inc.
1333 NW Vivion Road
Kansas City, Missouri 64118
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Derek Tisdell
Vice President/Operations Manager
Barnard Construction Company Inc.
701 Gold Avenue
Bozeman, Montana 59715
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Kevin L. Markhardt
Utility Division Manager
Webber, LLC
9303 New Trails Drive, Suite 200
The Woodland, Texas 77381
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Douglas J. Arnold
Vice President
Western Summit Constructors, Inc.
9780 Mt. Pyramid Court, #100
Englewood, Colorado 80112
(w/o enclosures)