



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

July 23, 2015

Mr. Robert N. Jones, Jr.
Assistant General Counsel
Texas Workforce Commission
101 East 15th Street
Austin, Texas 78778-0001

OR2015-15065

Dear Mr. Jones:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 572852 (TWC#'s 150505-043 and 150430-012).

The Texas Workforce Commission (the "commission") received a request for records that pertain to a specified case in the commission's Civil Rights Division. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.130, and 552.137 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.¹

Section 3616 of title 42 of the United States Code authorizes the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") to utilize the services of state and local fair housing agencies to assist in meeting its statutory mandate to enforce laws prohibiting discrimination. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 3616. You state, pursuant to this authorization, the commission's Civil Rights Division ("CRD") is currently operating under a cooperative agreement with HUD in the investigation and resolution of complaints of housing

¹We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

discrimination. Section 301.063 of the Property Code states the commission shall receive, investigate, seek to conciliate, and act on complaints alleging violations of the Texas Fair Housing Act. *See* Prop. Code § 301.063. Then, upon the filing of a complaint, both federal and state law mirror each other in language and encourage conciliation to the extent feasible. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 3610(b) (providing during the period beginning with the filing of a complaint and ending with the filing of a charge or a dismissal the Secretary of HUD shall, to the extent feasible, engage in conciliation, to the extent feasible); Prop. Code § 301.085 (providing that the commission shall, during the period beginning with the filing of a complaint and ending with the filing of a charge or a dismissal by the commission, to the extent feasible, engage in conciliation with respect to the complaint).

You state the submitted information relates to a housing discrimination complaint filed with the commission under its cooperative agreement. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 301.085 of the Property Code. Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses section 301.085 of the Property Code, which provides, in pertinent part:

(f) After completion of the commission’s investigation, the commission shall make available to the aggrieved person and the respondent, at any time, information derived from the investigation and the final investigative report relating to that investigation.

Prop. Code § 301.085(f). We note section 301.085(f) mandates release of the final investigative report upon request of a party to the complaint. In this instance, you state the investigation of the complaint at issue is still ongoing, and the commission has taken no final action in this case. You assert the submitted responsive information is confidential under section 301.085(f) of the Property Code. However, we find section 301.085(f) does not make information confidential for purposes of the Act; instead, it only provides that the commission must make available certain information to the aggrieved person and the respondent after the commission’s investigation is completed. *See id.*; Open Records Decision Nos. 658 at 4 (1998), 478 at 2 (1987) (statutory confidentiality requires express language making certain information confidential or stating that information shall not be released to the public). Therefore, none of the information at issue is confidential under section 301.085(f), and the commission may not withhold any of the information at issue under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 301.085(f).

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information that is (1) highly intimate or embarrassing, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy,

both prongs of this test must be satisfied. *Id.* at 681-82. Types of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court are delineated in *Industrial Foundation*. *Id.* at 683. This office has concluded some kinds of medical information are generally highly intimate or embarrassing. *See* Open Records Decision No. 455 (1987). Additionally, this office has found that personal financial information not relating to a financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body is generally intimate or embarrassing. *See generally* Open Records Decision Nos. 545 (1990) (deferred compensation information, participation in voluntary investment program, election of optional insurance coverage, mortgage payments, assets, bills, and credit history), 523 (1989) (common-law privacy protects credit reports, financial statements, and other personal financial information), 373 (1983) (sources of income not related to financial transaction between individual and governmental body protected under common-law privacy). Whether the public's interest in obtaining personal financial information is sufficient to justify its disclosure must be determined on a case-by-case basis. *See* ORD 373. Upon review, we find the information we have marked satisfies the standard articulated by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation*. Accordingly, the commission must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. However, the commission has failed to demonstrate the remaining information is highly intimate or embarrassing and of no legitimate public interest. Thus, the commission may not withhold the remaining information it has marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses the doctrine of constitutional privacy. Constitutional privacy consists of two interrelated types of privacy: (1) the right to make certain kinds of decisions independently and (2) an individual's interest in avoiding disclosure of personal matters. ORD 455 at 4. The first type protects an individual's autonomy within "zones of privacy" which include matters related to marriage, procreation, contraception, family relationships, and child rearing and education. *Id.* The second type of constitutional privacy requires a balancing between the individual's privacy interests and the public's need to know information of public concern. *Id.* The scope of information protected is narrower than that under the common law doctrine of privacy; the information must concern the "most intimate aspects of human affairs." *Id.* at 5 (citing *Ramie v. City of Hedwig Village, Texas*, 765 F.2d 490 (5th Cir. 1985)). After review of the remaining information at issue, we find you have failed to demonstrate how any portion of the remaining information falls within the zones of privacy or implicates an individual's privacy interests for purposes of constitutional privacy. Therefore, the commission may not withhold any of the remaining information at issue under section 552.101 on the basis of constitutional privacy.

In summary, the commission must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. The remaining submitted information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Ramsey Albarca". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, looped initial "R".

Ramsey A. Albarca
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

RAA/dls

Ref: ID# 572852

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)