



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

August 10, 2015

Mr. Benjamin Castillo
Counsel For Edcouch-Elsa Independent School District
O'Hanlon, Rodriguez, Betancourt & Demerath
220 South Jackson Road
Edinburg, Texas 78539

OR2015-16436

Dear Mr. Castillo:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 574796.

The Edcouch-Elsa Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request for information regarding a specified climate survey. You state the district has made some information available to the requestor. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.107, and 552.152 of the Government Code.¹ We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't

¹Although you also raise section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with Texas Rule of Evidence 503, this office has concluded section 552.101 does not encompass discovery privileges. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 676 at 1-2 (2002), 575 at 2 (1990). Although you raise Texas Rule of Evidence 503, we note the proper exception to raise when asserting the attorney-client privilege in this instance is section 552.107 of the Government Code. *See* ORD 676 at 1-2. Further, although you also raise section 552.305 as an exception to disclosure, we note section 552.305 is not an exception to public disclosure under the Act. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305. Finally, we note you failed to timely raise section 552.152 of the Government Code. *See id.* § 552.301(b), (e). Nevertheless, because section 552.152 can provide a compelling reason to overcome the presumption of openness, we will consider the applicability of section 552.152 to the submitted information. *See id.* §§ 552.007, .302, .352.

Code § 552.101. This exception encompasses information other statutes make confidential. Section 551.104 of the Government Code provides, in part, “[t]he certified agenda or tape of a closed meeting is available for public inspection and copying only under a court order issued under Subsection (b)(3).” *Id.* § 551.104(c). We note the district is not required to submit a certified agenda or tape recording of a closed meeting to this office for review. *See* Open Records Decision No. 495 at 4 (1988) (attorney general lacks authority to review certified agendas or tapes of executive sessions to determine whether a governmental body may withhold such information from disclosure under statutory predecessor to section 552.101). Thus, such information cannot be released to a member of the public in response to an open records request. *See* Attorney General Opinion JM-995 at 5-6 (1988) (public disclosure of certified agenda of closed meeting may be accomplished only under procedures provided in Open Meetings Act). Section 551.146 of the Open Meetings Act makes it a criminal offense to disclose a certified agenda or tape recording of a lawfully closed meeting to a member of the public. *See* Gov’t Code § 551.146(a)-(b); *see also* ORD 495 at 4. However, other records related to a closed meeting, other than a certified agenda or tape recording, are not made confidential by chapter 551 of the Government Code. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 605 at 2-3 (1992) (section 551.074 does not authorize a governmental body to withhold its records of the names of applicants for public employment who were discussed in an executive session), 485 at 9-10 (1987) (investigative report not excepted from disclosure under statutory predecessor to section 552.101 simply by virtue of its having been considered in executive session); *see also* Attorney General Opinion JM-1071 at 3 (1989) (statutory predecessor to section 551.146 did not prohibit members of governmental body or other individuals in attendance at executive session from making public statements about subject matter of executive session).

You state the information in Exhibits 3 and 3.2 was provided to the district’s School Board during an executive session and, thus, is confidential under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 551.104. However, you have failed to demonstrate this information consists of a certified agenda or tape of a closed meeting. Therefore, the district may not withhold the information in Exhibits 3 and 3.2 under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 551.104 of the Government Code.

You also raise section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 551.074 of the Government Code. Section 551.074 allows a governmental body to conduct certain deliberations about employees in an executive session. *See* Gov’t Code § 551.074. However, this provision does not make information confidential for purposes of section 552.101 of the Government Code. *See* Open Records Decision No. 478 (1987) (as general rule, statutory confidentiality requires express language making information confidential). Thus, the district may not withhold the information in Exhibits 3 and 3.2 under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 551.074 of the Government Code.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information that is (1) highly intimate or embarrassing, the

publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be satisfied. *Id.* at 681-82. Types of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court are delineated in *Industrial Foundation*. *Id.* at 683. Additionally, this office has concluded some kinds of medical information are generally highly intimate or embarrassing. See Open Records Decision No. 455 (1987). Upon review, we find you have not demonstrated how any of the submitted information is highly intimate or embarrassing and not of legitimate public concern. Thus, the district may not withhold any portion of the submitted information under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy.

Section 552.107(1) of the Government Code protects information coming within the attorney-client privilege. See Gov't Code § 552.107(1). When asserting the attorney-client privilege, a governmental body has the burden of providing the necessary facts to demonstrate the elements of the privilege in order to withhold the information at issue. See Open Records Decision No. 676 at 6-7 (2002). First, a governmental body must demonstrate the information constitutes or documents a communication. *Id.* at 7. Second, the communication must have been made "to facilitate the rendition of professional legal services" to the client governmental body. See TEX. R. EVID. 503(b)(1). The privilege does not apply when an attorney or representative is involved in some capacity other than that of providing or facilitating professional legal services to the client governmental body. See *In re Tex. Farmers Ins. Exch.*, 990 S.W.2d 337, 340 (Tex. App.—Texarkana 1999, orig. proceeding) (attorney-client privilege does not apply if attorney acting in capacity other than that of attorney). Governmental attorneys often act in capacities other than that of professional legal counsel, such as administrators, investigators, or managers. Thus, the mere fact that a communication involves an attorney for the government does not demonstrate this element. Third, the privilege applies only to communications between or among clients, client representatives, lawyers, and lawyer representatives. See TEX. R. EVID. 503(b)(1)(A), (B), (C), (D), (E). Thus, a governmental body must inform this office of the identities and capacities of the individuals to whom each communication at issue has been made. Finally, the attorney-client privilege applies only to a *confidential* communication, *id.* 503(b)(1), meaning it was "not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those: (A) to whom disclosure is made to further the rendition of professional legal services to the client; or (B) reasonably necessary to transmit the communication." *Id.* 503(a)(5). Whether a communication meets this definition depends on the *intent* of the parties involved at the time the information was communicated. See *Osborne v. Johnson*, 954 S.W.2d 180, 184 (Tex. App.—Waco 1997, orig. proceeding). Moreover, because the client may elect to waive the privilege at any time, a governmental body must explain the confidentiality of a communication has been maintained. Section 552.107(1) generally excepts an entire communication that is demonstrated to be protected by the attorney-client privilege unless otherwise waived by the governmental body. See *Huie v.*

DeShazo, 922 S.W.2d 920, 923 (Tex. 1996) (privilege extends to entire communication, including facts contained therein).

You state the submitted information consists of confidential attorney-client communications. However, upon review, we find you have failed to demonstrate the communications at issue, which were created for the purpose of conducting a climate survey on behalf of the district and reporting the results to the district, were made for the purpose of providing professional legal services to the district. As noted above, the privilege does not apply when an attorney or representative is involved in some capacity other than that of providing or facilitating professional legal services to the client governmental body. *See In re Tex. Farmers Ins. Exch.*, 990 S.W.2d at 340. Accordingly, we find you have failed to demonstrate the applicability of the attorney-client privilege to the information at issue, and the district may not withhold it under section 552.107(1) of the Government Code.

You seek to withhold some of the submitted information under section 552.152 of the Government Code. Section 552.152 provides,

Information in the custody of a governmental body that relates to an employee or officer of the governmental body is excepted from [required public disclosure] if, under the specific circumstances pertaining to the employee or officer, disclosure of the information would subject the employee or officer to a substantial threat of physical harm.

Gov't Code § 552.152. You seek to withhold the identifying information of individuals who participated in the climate survey and state the "safety and general welfare of those who participated may be in question[.]" However, you also state the district "does not assert and is not aware of any actual or perceived threat made against any of the employees who took the survey[.]" Upon review, we find you have failed to demonstrate release of the information at issue would subject any individual to a substantial threat of physical harm. Accordingly, we conclude the district may not withhold any of the information at issue under section 552.152 of the Government Code.

We note some of the remaining information is subject to sections 552.117 and 552.137 of the Government Code.² Section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure the home addresses and telephone numbers, social security numbers, emergency contact information, and family member information of current or former officials or employees of a governmental body who request this information be kept confidential under section 552.024 of the Government Code, except as provided by section 552.024(a-1). *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.117(a)(1), .024. Section 552.024(a-1) of the Government Code provides,

²The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

“[a] school district may not require an employee or former employee of the district to choose whether to allow public access to the employee’s or former employee’s social security number.” *Id.* § 552.024(a-1). Thus, the district may withhold under section 552.117 only the home address and telephone number, emergency contact information, and family member information of a current or former employee or official of the district who requests this information be kept confidential under section 552.024. We note section 552.117 is also applicable to personal cellular telephone numbers, provided the cellular telephone service is not paid for by a governmental body. *See* Open Records Decision No. 506 at 5-6 (1988) (section 552.117 not applicable to cellular telephone numbers paid for by governmental body and intended for official use).

Whether a particular piece of information is protected by section 552.117(a)(1) must be determined at the time the request for it is made. *See* Open Records Decision No. 530 at 5 (1989). Consequently, information may only be withheld under section 552.117(a)(1) on behalf of a current or former district employee who made a request for confidentiality under section 552.024 prior to the date of the governmental body’s receipt of the request for the information. Information may not be withheld under section 552.117(a)(1) on behalf of a current or former employee or official who did not timely request under section 552.024 the information be kept confidential. Therefore, to the extent the individuals whose information is at issue timely requested confidentiality under section 552.024 of the Government Code, the district must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code; however, any cellular telephone numbers may be withheld only if a governmental body does not pay for the cellular telephone service. Conversely, to the extent the individuals at issue did not timely request confidentiality under section 552.024, the district may not withhold the marked information under section 552.117(a)(1).

Section 552.137 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body” unless the member of the public consents to its release or the e-mail address is of a type specifically excluded by subsection (c). *See* Gov’t Code § 552.137(a)-(c). The e-mail addresses at issue are not excluded by subsection (c). Therefore, the district must withhold the personal e-mail addresses we have marked under section 552.137 of the Government Code, unless the owners affirmatively consent to their public disclosure.

In summary, the district must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code, provided the individuals whose personal information is at issue timely requested confidentiality under section 552.024 of the Government Code; however, any cellular telephone numbers may be withheld only if the cellular telephone service is not paid for by a governmental body. The district must withhold the personal e-mail addresses we have marked under section 552.137 of the Government Code, unless the owners affirmatively consent to their disclosure. The district must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Kristi L. Godden
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

KLK/cz

Ref: ID# 574796

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)