



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

August 11, 2015

Mr. Ted Murphree
Assistant City Attorney
City of San Antonio
P.O. Box 839966
San Antonio, Texas 78283

OR2015-16616

Dear Mr. Murphree:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 575043 (COSA# W084930-052915).

The City of San Antonio (the "city") received a request for the mechanical plans of a specified address. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.103 of the Government Code. You also notified LK Design Group, Inc. and Cleary Zimmermann Engineers of the request and of their right to submit arguments to this office explaining why their information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in certain circumstances). We have also received comments from Planned Parenthood South Texas Physicians Group. *See id.* We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.103 of the Government Code provides, in relevant part, the following:

- (a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party.

...

(c) Information relating to litigation involving a governmental body or an officer or employee of a governmental body is excepted from disclosure under Subsection (a) only if the litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date that the requestor applies to the officer for public information for access to or duplication of the information.

Gov't Code § 552.103(a), (c). A governmental body claiming section 552.103 has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show the section 552.103(a) exception is applicable in a particular situation. The test for meeting this burden is showing (1) litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date the governmental body received the request, and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *Univ. of Tex. Law Sch. v. Tex. Legal Found.*, 958 S.W.2d 479, 481 (Tex. App.—Austin 1997, orig. proceeding); *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4 (1990). The governmental body must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted under section 552.103(a).

You explain, and submit supporting documentation demonstrating, a lawsuit had been filed against the city prior to the date the city received the present request for information. Further, you explain, and we agree, the information at issue is related to the pending litigation. Based on your representations and our review, we find you have demonstrated the submitted information is related to litigation that was pending against the city at the time the city received the request for information. Therefore, we find the city may withhold the submitted information under section 552.103(a) of the Government Code.¹

We note, however, the purpose of section 552.103 is to enable a governmental body to protect its position in litigation by forcing parties seeking information relating to that litigation to obtain it through discovery procedures. *See* ORD 551 at 4-5. Thus, if the opposing party has seen or had access to information relating to the litigation through discovery or otherwise, there is no interest in withholding such information from public disclosure under section 552.103. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). We also note the applicability of section 552.103 ends once the related litigation concludes. *See* Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/>

¹As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address the remaining arguments against disclosure.

[orl_ruling_info.shtml](#), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Mili Gosar
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

MG/akg

Ref: ID# 575043

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

LK Design Group, Inc.
16010 Via Shavano
San Antonio, Texas 78249
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. John M. Teeter
Cleary Zimmermann Engineers
1344 South Flores, Suite 101
San Antonio, Texas 78204
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Kathleen Quiroz
For Planned Parenthood of South Texas
Strasburger & Price LLP
2301 Broadway
San Antonio, Texas 78215-1157
(w/o enclosures)