



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

September 22, 2015

Ms. Claudene Marshall
Assistant General Counsel
Office of General Counsel
Texas A&M University System
301 Tarrow Street, 6th Floor
College Station, Texas 77840-7896

OR2015-19775

Dear Ms. Marshall:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 580421 (TAMU 15-592).

Texas A&M University (the "university") received a request for the contracts with Advent and Rainier Sports for graphics, branding, design, and any other work on university athletics facilities during a specified time period and the competing offers or bids for the projects at issue. Although the university takes no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted under the Act, it states release of the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of Explus, Inc., and NanoLumens. Accordingly, the university states, and provides documentation showing, it notified the third parties of the request for information and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from either of the third parties explaining why the submitted information should not be released.

Therefore, we have no basis to conclude either of the third parties has a protected proprietary interest in the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the university may not withhold the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interest the third parties may have in the information. As no exceptions to disclosure have been raised, the university must release the submitted information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



David L. Wheelus
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

DLW/bhf

Ref: ID# 580421

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Duncan Burt
Explus, Inc.
44156 Mercure Circle
Dulles, Virginia 20166
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Rick Bortles
NanoLumens
4900 Avalon Ridge Parkway
Norcross, Georgia 30071
(w/o enclosures)

