



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

September 28, 2015

Mr. David N. Brown
Assistant County Attorney
Williamson County
405 Martin Luther King Street, Box 7
Georgetown, Texas 78626

OR2015-20288

Dear Mr. Brown:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 580794.

Williamson County (the "county") received a request for proposals submitted for a specified RFP and information related to that RFP. You state you have released some information to the requestor. Although you take no position regarding whether the submitted information is excepted from disclosure, you state its release may implicate the proprietary interests of American Constructors ("American"), Chasco, Flintco, FTWoods Construction, Joeris General Contractors, MW Builders, SEDLACO, Spaw Glass, STR Constructors, and Turner Construction. Accordingly, you state you notified these third parties of the request and their right to submit arguments to this office. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have received comments from American. We have reviewed the submitted information and the submitted arguments.

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have only received comments from

American explaining why the submitted information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude any of the remaining third parties has a protected proprietary interest in the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the county may not withhold any of the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interest any of the remaining third parties may have in the information.

American asserts section 552.101 of the Government Code for some of its information. Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. This exception encompasses information that is considered to be confidential under other law. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 600 at 4 (1992) (constitutional privacy), 478 at 2 (1987) (statutory confidentiality), 611 at 1 (1992) (common-law privacy). However, American has failed to direct our attention to any law, nor are we aware of any law, under which any of the submitted information is considered to be confidential for purposes of section 552.101. Therefore, none of American’s information may be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code.

Section 552.110(b) of the Government Code protects “[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.110(b). This exception to disclosure requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. *Id.*; *see also* ORD 661 at 5.

In advancing its arguments, we understand American to rely, in part, on the test pertaining to the applicability of the section 552(b)(4) exemption under the federal Freedom of Information Act to third-party information held by a federal agency, as announced in *National Parks & Conservation Association v. Morton*, 498 F.2d 765 (D.C. Cir. 1974). The *National Parks* test provides that commercial or financial information is confidential if disclosure of information is likely to impair a governmental body’s ability to obtain necessary information in the future. *National Parks*, 498 F.2d at 765. Although this office once applied the *National Parks* test under the statutory predecessor to section 552.110, that standard was overturned by the Third Court of Appeals when it held *National Parks* was not a judicial decision within the meaning of former section 552.110. *See Birnbaum v. Alliance of Am. Insurers*, 994 S.W.2d 766 (Tex. App.—Austin 1999, pet. denied). Section 552.110(b) now expressly states the standard to be applied and requires a specific factual demonstration that the release of the information in question would cause the business enterprise that submitted the information substantial competitive harm. *See* ORD 661 at 5-6 (discussing enactment of section 552.110(b) by Seventy-sixth Legislature). The ability of a

governmental body to continue to obtain information from private parties is not a relevant consideration under section 552.110(b). *Id.* Therefore, we will consider only the interest of American in the information at issue.

American argues some of its information consists of commercial information, the release of which would cause the company substantial competitive harm under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code. Upon review, we find American has not demonstrated the release of any of its information at issue would result in substantial competitive injury. *See* ORD 661. Therefore, none of American's information may be withheld under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code.

Section 552.136(b) of the Government Code provides, "[n]otwithstanding any other provision of [the Act], a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential."¹ Gov't Code § 552.136(b); *see id.* § 552.136(a) (defining "access device"). This office has concluded insurance policy numbers constitute access device numbers for purposes of section 552.136. Accordingly, the county must withhold the insurance policy numbers in the submitted information under section 552.136 of the Government Code.

We note some of the remaining information appears to be protected by copyright. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Open Records Decision No. 180 at 3 (1977). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.*; *see* Open Records Decision No. 109 (1975). If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit.

In summary, the county must withhold the insurance policy numbers in the submitted information under section 552.136 of the Government Code. The county must release the remaining information; however, any information subject to copyright may only be released in accordance with copyright law.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

¹The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Meredith L. Coffman
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

MLC/dls

Ref: ID# 580794

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

American Constructors
c/o Mr. Jason C. Spencer
Andrews Meyers
98 San Jacinto Boulevard, Suite 300
Austin, Texas 78701-4252
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Charles C. Glace, Jr.
Chasco
2801 East Old Settlers Boulevard
Round Rock, Texas 78665
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. John A. Martin
Flintco
8100 Cross Park Drive
Austin, Texas 78754
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. F. Todd Woods
FTWoods Construction
2500 NE Inner Loop
Georgetown, Texas 78626
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Gary L. Joeris
Joeris General Contractors
11500 Metric Boulevard, Suite 420
Austin, Texas 78758
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. R Jason Evelyn
MW Builders
1701 North General Bruce Drive
Temple, Texas 76504
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Russ Garrison
SEDLACO
2554 East Long Avenue
Fort Worth, Texas 76137
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Scott Hobza
Spaw Glass
111 Smith Road
Austin, Texas 78721
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. T. R. Kennedy
STR Constructors
15500 Highway 29 West
Liberty Hill, Texas 78642
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Michael J. Kaiman
Turner Construction
8001 Central Drive, Suite 120
Austin, Texas 78754-5118
(w/o enclosures)