



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

September 29, 2015

Mr. W. Montgomery Meitler  
Senior Counsel  
Office of Legal Services  
Texas Education Agency  
1701 North Congress Avenue  
Austin, Texas 78701-1494

OR2015-20361

Dear Mr. Meitler:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 581353 (TEA PIR#24958).

The Texas Education Agency (the "TEA") received a request for information concerning alleged misconduct by a named educator. You state the TEA has released some of the information. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.103 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.<sup>1</sup>

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses chapter 411 of the Government Code which pertains to criminal history record information ("CHRI"). Chapter 411 of the Government Code authorizes the Texas Department of Public Safety (the "DPS") to compile and maintain CHRI from law enforcement agencies throughout the state and to provide

---

<sup>1</sup>We assume the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent those records contain substantially different types of information than those submitted to this office.

access to authorized persons to federal criminal history records. *See id.* §§ 411.042, .087. Section 411.0845 of the Government Code provides:

(a) The [DPS] shall establish an electronic clearinghouse and subscription service to provide [CHRI] to a particular person entitled to receive [CHRI] and updates to a particular record to which the person has subscribed under this subchapter.

(b) On receiving a request for [CHRI] from a person entitled to such information under this subchapter, the [DPS] shall provide through the electronic clearinghouse:

(1) the [CHRI] reported to the [DPS] or the Federal Bureau of Investigation relating to the individual who is the subject of the request; or

(2) a statement that the individual who is the subject of the request does not have any [CHRI] reported to the [DPS] or the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

...

(d) The [DPS] shall ensure that the information described by Subsection (b) is provided only to a person otherwise entitled to obtain [CHRI] under this subchapter. Information collected under this section is confidential and is not subject to disclosure under [the Act].

*Id.* § 411.0845(a)–(b), (d). Pursuant to section 411.0901 of the Government Code, the TEA is authorized to obtain CHRI from DPS. *See id.* § 411.0901; *see also* Educ. Code § 22.0831 (agency shall review CHRI of certified educators). You state the information you have identified consists of CHRI obtained through the DPS criminal history clearinghouse pursuant to section 411.0901. You also state the circumstances under which the release of this information is permitted under section 411.0901(b)(2) do not exist in this instance. *See Gov't Code* § 411.0901(b)(2). Based on your representations and our review, we agree the information you identified is confidential under section 411.0845 of the Government Code and the TEA must withhold it under section 552.101 of the Government Code.

Section 552.103 of the Government Code provides:

(a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or

employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party.

...

(c) Information relating to litigation involving a governmental body or an officer or employee of a governmental body is excepted from disclosure under Subsection (a) only if the litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date that the requestor applies to the officer for public information for access to or duplication of the information.

*Id.* § 552.103(a), (c). A governmental body has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show the section 552.103(a) exception is applicable in a particular situation. The test for meeting this burden is a showing (1) litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date the governmental body received the request for information, and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *Univ. of Tex. Law Sch. v. Tex. Legal Found.*, 958 S.W.2d 479, 481 (Tex. App.—Austin 1997, orig. proceeding); *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4 (1990). The governmental body must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted under section 552.103(a). *See* ORD 551 at 4.

The question of whether litigation is reasonably anticipated must be determined on a case-by-case basis. *See* Open Records Decision No. 452 at 4 (1986). To demonstrate litigation is reasonably anticipated, the governmental body must furnish concrete evidence that litigation involving a specific matter is realistically contemplated and is more than mere conjecture. *Id.* In the context of anticipated litigation in which the governmental body is the prospective plaintiff, the concrete evidence must at least reflect that litigation is “realistically contemplated.” *See* Open Records Decision No. 518 at 5 (1989); *see also* Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982) (finding that investigatory file may be withheld from disclosure if governmental body attorney determines that it should be withheld pursuant to section 552.103 and that litigation is “reasonably likely to result”). Whether litigation is reasonably anticipated must be determined on a case-by-case basis. *See* ORD 452 at 4.

You state the information you identified is related to an open investigation of allegations that an educator engaged in inappropriate conduct. The alleged misconduct may require the TEA to file a petition for sanctions against the educator pursuant to provisions of the Education Code and title 19 of the Texas Administrative Code. *See* Educ. Code §§ 21.031(a) (agency shall regulate and oversee standards of conduct of public school educators) 041(b) (agency shall propose rules providing for disciplinary-proceedings); 19 T.A.C. §§ 247.2, 249.15(c). If the educator files an answer to the petition, the matter will be referred to the State Office of Administrative Hearings for a contested case proceeding. *See id.* § 249.18. You explain such proceedings are governed by the Administrative Procedure Act (the

“APA”), chapter 2001 of the Government Code. *See* Educ. Code § 21.041 (b)(7); 19 T.A.C. § 249.4(a)(1); Open Records Decision No. 588(1991) (contested case under APA constitutes litigation for purposes of statutory predecessor to section 552.103). Based on these representations and our review, we find the TEA reasonably anticipated litigation when it received the request for information. Further, the TEA explains the information you identified was compiled for the purpose of investigating the educator’s alleged misconduct. Accordingly, we conclude the TEA may withhold the information you identified under section 552.103 of the Government Code.


We note, however, once the information has been obtained by all parties to the anticipated litigation through discovery or otherwise, no section 552.103(a) interest exists with respect to the information. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). Thus, any information obtained from or provided to all other parties in the anticipated litigation is not excepted from disclosure under section 552.103(a) and must be disclosed. Further, the applicability of section 552.103(a) ends once the litigation has concluded. *See* Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982).

In summary, the TEA must withhold the information you identified under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 411.0845 of the Government Code. The TEA may withhold the information you identified under section 552.103 of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Neal Falgoust  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

NF/bhf

Ref: ID# 581353

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)