



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

October 28, 2015

Ms. Cynthia Tynan
Attorney and Public Information Coordinator
Office of the General Counsel
The University of Texas System
201 West Seventh Street
Austin, Texas 78701

OR2015-22631

Dear Ms. Tynan:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 584882 (OGC# 163818).

The University of Texas at Austin (the "university") received a request for the proposal submitted by HYCO Building Maintenance for a specified request for proposals. Although the university takes no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted under the Act, it states release of the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of US Facility Tec ("USFT"). Accordingly, the university states, and provides documentation showing, it notified USFT of the request for information and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have received comments from USFT. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

USFT raises section 552.101 of the Government Code for its information. Section 552.101 exempts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. However, USFT has not pointed to any statutory confidentiality provision, nor are we aware of any, that would make this information confidential for purposes of section 552.101. *See, e.g.*, Open Records Decision Nos. 611 at 1 (1992) (common-law privacy), 600 at 4 (1992)

(constitutional privacy), 478 at 2 (1987) (statutory confidentiality). Therefore, the university may not withhold any of the submitted information under section 552.101 of the Government Code.

USFT states its information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.110 of the Government Code. Section 552.110 protects (1) trade secrets and (2) commercial or financial information the disclosure of which would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained. *See* Gov't Code § 552.110(a)-(b). Section 552.110(a) protects trade secrets obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision. *Id.* § 552.110(a). The Texas Supreme Court has adopted the definition of trade secret from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts, which holds a trade secret to be:

any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business . . . in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business. . . . It may . . . relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 776 (Tex. 1958). In determining whether particular information constitutes a trade secret, this office considers the Restatement's definition of trade secret as well as the Restatement's list of six trade secret factors.¹ RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b. This office must accept a claim information subject to the Act is excepted as a trade secret if a *prima facie* case for the exception is made and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. *See* Open Records Decision No. 552 at 5 (1990). However, we cannot

¹The Restatement of Torts lists the following six factors as indicia of whether information constitutes a trade secret:

- (1) the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company];
- (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and other involved in [the company's] business;
- (3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;
- (4) the value of the information to [the company] and [its] competitors;
- (5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing the information;
- (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b; *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 319 at 2 (1982), 306 at 2 (1982), 255 at 2 (1980).

conclude section 552.110(a) is applicable unless it has been shown the information meets the definition of a trade secret and the necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish a trade secret claim. Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983).

Section 552.110(b) protects “[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.110(b). This exception to disclosure requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. *Id.*; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 661 at 5 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm).

USFT claims its information constitutes trade secrets. Upon review, we find USFT has failed to establish a *prima facie* case any of its information meets the definition of a trade secret, nor has it demonstrated the necessary factors to establish a trade secret claim for the information at issue. *See* ORD 402. Therefore, the university may not withhold any of the submitted information under section 552.110(a).

USFT further claims some of its information consists of commercial information, the release of which would cause the company substantial competitive harm under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code. Upon review, we find USFT has not made the specific factual or evidentiary showing required by section 552.110(b) that release of any of its remaining information would cause the company substantial competitive harm. *See* ORD 661. Therefore, the university may not withhold any of the submitted information under section 552.110(b).

USFT raises section 552.113 of the Government Code for its information. Section 552.113 provides, in relevant part, as follows:

(a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure under the Act] if it is:

...

(2) geological or geophysical information or data, including maps concerning wells, except information filed in connection with an application or proceeding before an agency[.]

Gov’t Code § 552.113(a)(2). In Open Records Decision No. 627 (1994), this office concluded section 552.113(a)(2) protects from public disclosure only (i) geological and geophysical information regarding the exploration or development of natural resources that is (ii) commercially valuable. ORD 627 at 3-4 (overruling rationale of Open Records Decision No. 504 (1988)). The decision explained the phrase “information regarding the

exploration or development of natural resources” means “information indicating the presence or absence of natural resources in a particular location, as well as information indicating the extent of a particular deposit or accumulation.” *Id.* at 4 n.4. However, section 552.113(a)(2) does not except general geological information about a particular location that is unrelated to the “presence or absence of natural resources.” In order to be commercially valuable for purposes of Open Records Decision No. 627 and section 552.113, information must not be publicly available. *See* Open Records Decision No. 669 (2000). Upon review, we find USFT has not demonstrated any of its information is commercially valuable geological or geophysical information regarding the exploration of or development of natural resources. Accordingly, the university may not withhold any of USFT’s information under section 552.113 of the Government Code.

USFT raises section 552.131 of the Government Code for its information. Section 552.131 relates to economic development information and provides, in part:

(a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if the information relates to economic development negotiations involving a governmental body and a business prospect that the governmental body seeks to have locate, stay, or expand in or near the territory of the governmental body and the information relates to:

(1) a trade secret of the business prospect; or

(2) commercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained.

(b) Unless and until an agreement is made with the business prospect, information about a financial or other incentive being offered to the business prospect by the governmental body or by another person is excepted from [required public disclosure].

Gov’t Code § 552.131(a)-(b). Section 552.131(a) protects the proprietary interests of third parties that have provided information to governmental bodies, not the interests of governmental bodies themselves. Section 552.131(a) excepts from disclosure only “trade secret[s] of [a] business prospect” and “commercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained.” *Id.* § 552.131(a). This aspect of section 552.131 is co-extensive with section 552.110 of the Government Code. *See id.* § 552.110(a)-(b). Because we have already disposed of USFT’s claims under section 552.110, the university may not withhold any of USFT’s information under section 552.131(a) of the Government Code. Additionally, we note section 552.131(b) is designed to protect the interests of governmental bodies, not third parties. As the university does not assert section 552.131(b) as an exception to disclosure, we conclude no portion of

the submitted information is excepted under section 552.131(b) of the Government Code. As the no other arguments are raised for the submitted information, the university must release it.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



David L. Wheelus
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

DLW/bhf

Ref: ID# 584882

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Forrest Cooper
Chief Financial Officer
US Facility Tec
Suite 323
2020 Southwest Freeway
Houston, Texas 77098
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Stephanie Sanchez
Human Services Director
Forrest Cooper Chief Operation
HYCO Building Maintenance
2020 Southwest Freeway, Suite 323
Houston, Texas 77098
(w/o enclosures)