



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

November 9, 2015

Ms. Sol M. Cortez
Assistant City Attorney
City of El Paso
P.O. Box 1890
El Paso, Texas 79950-1890

OR2015-23542

Dear Ms. Cortez:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 586531 (El Paso Reference No. 15-1004-631).

The City of El Paso (the "city") received a request for the certified payroll records of Volter Electric pertaining to a specified project. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We also note you notified Volter Electric. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note the requestor has excluded from his request the social security numbers and addresses of the employees at issue in the certified payroll records. Accordingly, this information is not responsive to the instant request. The city need not release nonresponsive information in response to this request, and this ruling will not address that information.

Next, we note an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from Volter Electric explaining why its information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude Volter Electric has a protected proprietary interest

in the responsive information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the city may not withhold any of the information at issue on the basis of any proprietary interest Volter Electric may have in it.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from public disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. This section encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information that is (1) highly intimate or embarrassing, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be satisfied. *Id.* at 681-82. Types of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court are delineated in *Industrial Foundation*. *Id.* at 683. This office has found personal financial information not relating to the financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body is excepted from required public disclosure under common-law privacy. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 600 (1992) (finding personal financial information to include designation of beneficiary of employee’s retirement benefits and optional insurance coverage; choice of particular insurance carrier; direct deposit authorization; and forms allowing employee to allocate pretax compensation to group insurance, health care, or dependent care), 545 (1990) (deferred compensation information, participation in voluntary investment program, election of optional insurance coverage, mortgage payments, assets, bills, and credit history). However, whether financial information is subject to a legitimate public interest and, therefore, is not protected by common-law privacy must be determined on a case-by-case basis. *See* Open Records Decision No. 373 (1983).

Chapter 2258 of the Government Code is applicable to prevailing wage rates for public works projects. Section 2258.021(a) provides that workers, laborers, or mechanics employed by or on behalf of the state or a political subdivision of the state shall be paid “not less than the general prevailing rate of per diem wages for work of a similar character in the locality in which the work is performed[.]” Gov’t Code § 2258.021(a). Section 2258.024 requires the contractor and each subcontractor to keep a record of the name and occupation of each worker and the actual per diem wages paid to each worker employed on the project. *See id.* § 2258.024(a). Section 2258.024 also provides that this record “shall be open at all reasonable hours to inspection by the officers and agents of the public body.” *Id.* § 2258.024(b); *see also id.* § 2258.058 (criminal penalty for violation of Gov’t Code § 2258.024).


Upon review, we find the identities of the employees in the payroll records at issue, which we have marked, meet the standard articulated by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation*. Accordingly, the city must withhold the information we have marked under

section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. However, after careful consideration, we find there is a legitimate public interest in knowing whether a private entity engaged in a public works project is paying the general prevailing wage to its employees pursuant to section 2258.021 of the Government Code. Therefore, the city may not withhold any of the remaining information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. As no further exceptions against disclosure have been raised, the city must release the remaining responsive information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Paige Lay
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

PL/akg

Ref: ID# 586531

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Adriana Soto
Volter Electrical Construction
14081 Pebble Hills
El Paso, Texas 79938
(w/o enclosures)