



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

November 13, 2015

Ms. Leticia McGowan
School Attorney
Dallas Independent School District
3700 Ross Avenue
Dallas, Texas 75204

OR2015-23898

Dear Ms. McGowan:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 587112 (ORR# 14475).

The Dallas Independent School District (the "district") received a request for information pertaining to the requestor's client that was provided to the district's board of trustees during two specified meetings. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.103 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note the United States Department of Education Family Policy Compliance Office has informed this office the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act ("FERPA"), section 1232g of title 20 of the United States Code, does not permit state and local educational authorities to disclose to this office, without parental or an adult student's consent, unredacted, personally identifiable information contained in education records for the purpose of our review in the open records ruling process under the Act.¹ Consequently, state and local educational authorities that receive a request for education records from a member of the public under the Act must not submit education records to this office in unredacted form, that is, in a form in which "personally identifiable information" is disclosed. *See* 34 C.F.R. § 99.3 (defining "personally identifiable information"). You have submitted redacted and unredacted education records for our review. Because our office is

¹A copy of this letter may be found on the Office of the Attorney General's website at <http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/20060725usdoe.pdf>.

prohibited from reviewing these education records to determine whether appropriate redactions under FERPA have been made, we will not address the applicability of FERPA to any of the submitted records. *See* 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(a)(1)(A). Such determinations under FERPA must be made by the educational authority in possession of the education records. However, we will consider your arguments against disclosure of the submitted information.

Next, we note some of the submitted information is subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code. Section 552.022(a) provides, in relevant part:

(a) [T]he following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure unless made confidential under this chapter or other law:

(1) a completed report, audit, evaluation, or investigation made of, for, or by a governmental body, except as provided by Section 552.108; [and]

...

(3) information in an account, voucher, or contract relating to the receipt or expenditure of public or other funds by a governmental body[.]

Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(1), (3). The submitted information includes completed evaluations that are subject to section 552.022(a)(1) and a contract that is subject to section 552.022(a)(3). The district must release the completed evaluations pursuant to section 552.022(a)(1) unless they are excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code or are made confidential under the Act or other law. *See id.* § 552.022(a)(1). The district must release the information subject to section 552.022(a)(3) unless it is made confidential under the Act or other law. *See id.* § 552.022(a)(3). You seek to withhold the information subject to section 552.022 under section 552.103 of the Government Code. However, section 552.103 is discretionary in nature and does not make information confidential under the Act. *See Dallas Area Rapid Transit v. Dallas Morning News*, 4 S.W.3d 469, 475-76 (Tex. App.—Dallas 1999, no pet.) (governmental body may waive Gov't Code § 552.103); *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions generally), 663 at 5 (1999) (waiver of discretionary exceptions). Therefore, the information subject to section 552.022 may not be withheld under section 552.103 of the Government Code. However, we note the information subject to section 552.022(a)(1) may be subject to section 552.101 of the Government Code.² As section 552.101 of the Government Code makes information confidential under the Act, we will consider the applicability of this exception to the information subject to

²The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

section 552.022(a)(1) of the Government Code. We will also consider your argument under section 552.103 of the Government Code for the information that is not subject to section 552.022.

First, we turn to your claim under section 552.103 of the Government Code for the information not subject to section 552.022. Section 552.103 provides, in relevant part, as follows:

(a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party.

...

(c) Information relating to litigation involving a governmental body or an officer or employee of a governmental body is excepted from disclosure under Subsection (a) only if the litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date that the requestor applies to the officer for public information for access to or duplication of the information.

Gov't Code § 552.103(a), (c). A governmental body has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show that the section 552.103(a) exception is applicable in a particular situation. The test for meeting this burden is a showing that (1) litigation was pending or reasonably anticipated on the date the governmental body received the request for information, and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *Univ. of Tex. Law Sch. v. Tex. Legal Found.*, 958 S.W.2d 479, 481 (Tex. App.—Austin 1997, orig. proceeding); *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4 (1990). A governmental body must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted under section 552.103(a). The information at issue relates to the requestor, whose employment was terminated by the district. You state litigation related to this information is pending because prior to the date the district received the instant request for information, the requestor appealed his termination and requested the appointment of an independent hearing officer by the Texas Education Agency, to be conducted pursuant to chapter 21 of the Education Code.

This office has long held that "litigation," for purposes of section 552.103, includes "contested cases" conducted in a quasi-judicial forum. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 474 (1987), 368 (1983), 336 (1982), 301 (1982). In determining whether an administrative proceeding is conducted in a quasi-judicial forum, some of the factors this office considers are whether the administrative proceeding provides for discovery, evidence to be heard, factual questions to be resolved, the making of a record, and whether the proceeding is an adjudicative forum of first jurisdiction with appellate review of the resulting

decision without a re-adjudication of fact questions. *See* Open Records Decision No. 588 (1991).

Section 21.256 of the Education Code provides that hearings requested under section 21.253 of the Education Code “shall be conducted in the same manner as a trial without a jury in a district court of [Texas].” Educ. Code § 21.256(e). Section 21.256 also specifically affords a teacher the right to be represented by a representative of the teacher’s choice; the right to hear the evidence on which the charges are based; the right to cross-examine each adverse witness; and the right to present evidence. *See id.* § 21.256(c). Section 21.256(d) provides that the Texas Rules of Evidence apply at the hearing. *See id.* § 21.256(d). We also note, in a chapter 21 hearing, the hearing examiner may issue subpoenas for the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; an appeal of the proceedings to the commissioner of education is based only on the record of the local hearing; and in a judicial appeal of the commissioner’s decision, the court must review the evidence pursuant to the substantial evidence rule. *Id.* §§ 21.255(a) (subpoena power of examiner), .301(c) (appeal based solely on local record), .307(e) (substantial evidence rule for judicial review). Therefore, based on the district’s representations and our review, we determine a hearing under chapter 21 of the Education Code constitutes litigation for purposes of section 552.103. Consequently, we find litigation was pending when the district received the request for information. We also find that the information at issue is related to the pending litigation. Accordingly, the district may withhold the information not subject to section 552.022 under section 552.103 of the Government Code.

We note, however, once information has been obtained by all parties to the litigation through discovery or otherwise, no section 552.103(a) interest exists with respect to that information. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). Thus, information that has either been obtained from or provided to the opposing parties in the pending litigation is not excepted from disclosure under section 552.103(a). We note the applicability of section 552.103(a) ends once the litigation has concluded. *See* Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982).

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 of the Government Code encompasses section 21.355 of the Education Code. Section 21.355(a) provides that “[a] document evaluating the performance of a teacher or administrator is confidential.” Educ. Code § 21.355(a). This office has interpreted section 21.355 to apply to any document that evaluates, as that term is commonly understood, the performance of a teacher or an administrator. *See* Open Records Decision No. 643 (1996). We have determined that “administrator,” for purposes of section 21.355, means a person who is required to and does in fact hold an administrator’s certificate under subchapter B of chapter 21 of the Education Code and is performing the functions of an administrator, as that term is commonly defined, at the time of the evaluation. *Id.* The Third Court of Appeals has concluded a written reprimand constitutes an evaluation for purposes of section 21.355, because “it reflects the principal’s judgment regarding [a teacher’s] actions, gives corrective direction, and provides for further review.” *See North East Indep. Sch. Dist. v. Abbott*, 212 S.W.3d 364 (Tex. App.—Austin 2006, no pet.).

Upon review, we find the information subject to section 552.022(a)(1) consists of evaluations of an administrator. We understand the administrator at issue held the appropriate certificate at the time of the creation of the evaluations and was acting as an administrator at the time the evaluations were prepared. We find the information subject to section 552.022(a)(1) is confidential under section 21.355. Therefore, the district must withhold the information subject to section 552.022(a)(1) under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 21.355 of the Education Code.

In summary, the district must release the information we have marked pursuant to section 552.022(a)(3) of the Government Code. The district may withhold the information not subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code under section 552.103 of the Government Code. The district must withhold the information subject to section 552.022(a)(1) of the Government Code under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 21.355 of the Education Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Cristian Rosas-Grillet
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CRG/akg

Ref: ID# 587112

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)