



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

November 18, 2015

Mr. Bob Davis
Staff Attorney
Office of Agency Counsel
Legal Section
General Counsel Division
Texas Department of Insurance
P.O. Box 149104
Austin, Texas 78714-9104

OR2015-24267

Dear Mr. Davis:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 587626 (TDI #163182).

The Texas Department of Insurance (the "department") received a request for information pertaining to complaints, taxes due, penalties, or fines outstanding pertaining to a specified insurance company and specified license numbers. You state you have released some information to the requestor. You state you will redact information pursuant to section 552.136 of the Government Code and information pursuant to section 552.137 of the Government Code in accordance with Open Records Decision No. 684 (2009).¹ Although you take no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted under the Act, you state release of some of this information may implicate the proprietary interests of Glast, Phillips & Murray, P.C.; the Law Office of Dan E. Martens; Locke Lord, L.L.P.; Rekerdres

¹Section 552.136 of the Government Code permits a governmental body to withhold the information described in section 552.136(b) without the necessity of seeking a decision from this office. *See* Gov't Code § 552.136(c). If a governmental body redacts such information, it must notify the requestor in accordance with section 552.136(e). *See id.* § 552.136(d), (e). Open Records Decision No. 684 serves as a previous determination to all governmental bodies authorizing them to withhold certain categories of information, including personal e-mail addresses under section 552.137 of the Government Code, without the necessity of requesting an attorney general decision. *See* ORD 684.

& Sons; and Swiss Re.² Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, you notified the third parties of the request for information and of their rights to submit arguments to this office as to why the information at issue should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.

We note an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) of the Government Code to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from any of the third parties explaining why the submitted information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude any of the third parties have a protected proprietary interest in the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the department may not withhold the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interest the third parties may have in the information.

We note some of the submitted information may be protected by copyright. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Open Records Decision No. 180 at 3 (1977). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.*; *see* Open Records Decision No. 109 (1975). If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. As no exceptions to disclosure have been raised, the department must release the submitted information; however, any information protected by copyright may only be released in accordance with copyright law.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

²We note, and you acknowledge, the department did not comply with section 552.301 of the Government Code in requesting this decision. *See* Gov't Code § 552.301(b), (e). Nevertheless, because the interests of third parties can provide a compelling reason to overcome the presumption of openness, we will consider third party interests for the submitted information. *See id.* §§ 552.007, .302, .352.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Kenny Moreland
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

KJM/som

Ref: ID# 587626

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. John J. Stasney
Glast, Phillips, Murray, P.C.
14801 Quorum Drive, Suite 500
Dallas, Texas 75251
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Jon L. Gillum
Locke Lord, LLP
600 Congress Avenue, Suite 2200
Austin, Texas 78701
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Dan E. Martens
The Law Office of Dan E. Martens
5600 Tennyson Parkway, Suite 382
Plano, Texas 75024
(w/o enclosures)

Swiss Re
5200 Metcalf
Overland, Kansas 66201
(w/o enclosures)

Rekerdres & Sons
13760 Noel Road, Suite 860
Dallas, Texas 75240
(w/o enclosures)