



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

November 24, 2015

Ms. Clarissa M. Rodriguez  
Counsel for City of Schertz  
Denton, Navarro, Rocha, Bernal, Hyde & Zech, P.C.  
2517 North Main Avenue  
San Antonio, Texas 78212

OR2015-24691

Dear Ms. Rodriguez:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 588243.

The City of Schertz (the "city"), which you represent, received a request for a specified case number. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision."<sup>1</sup> Gov't Code § 552.101. This section encompasses information protected by other statutes, such as section 261.201 of the Family Code, which provides, in relevant part:

(a) [T]he following information is confidential, is not subject to public release under Chapter 552, Government Code, and may be disclosed only for purposes consistent with this code and applicable federal or state law or under rules adopted by an investigating agency:

---

<sup>1</sup>The Office of the Attorney General will raise mandatory exceptions on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. See Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

(1) a report of alleged or suspected abuse or neglect made under this chapter and the identity of the person making the report; and

(2) except as otherwise provided in this section, the files, reports, records, communications, audiotapes, videotapes, and working papers used or developed in an investigation under this chapter or in providing services as a result of an investigation.

...

(k) Notwithstanding Subsection (a), an investigating agency, other than the [Texas Department of Family and Protective Services] or the Texas Juvenile Justice Department, on request, shall provide to the parent, managing conservator, or other legal representative of a child who is the subject of reported abuse or neglect, or to the child if the child is at least 18 years of age, information concerning the reported abuse or neglect that would otherwise be confidential under this section. The investigating agency shall withhold information under this subsection if the parent, managing conservator, or other legal representative of the child requesting the information is alleged to have committed the abuse or neglect.

(l) Before a child or a parent, managing conservator, or other legal representative of a child may inspect or copy a record or file concerning the child under Subsection (k), the custodian of the record or file must redact:

(2) any information that is excepted from required disclosure under [the Act], or other law[.]

Fam. Code § 261.201(a), (k), (l)(2). We note some of the submitted information was used in an investigation of alleged or suspected child abuse by the city's police department. *See id.* § 261.001 (defining "abuse" for purposes of chapter 261 of the Family Code); *see also id.* § 101.003(a) (defining "child" for purposes of chapter 261 of the Family Code as person under 18 years of age who is not and has not been married or who has not had the disabilities of minority removed for general purposes). Accordingly, we find this information is subject to chapter 261 of the Family Code. However, we note the requestor is the parent of the child victim in the submitted information, and the requestor is not alleged to have committed the abuse. Therefore, the city may not withhold the submitted information from the requestor under section 261.201(a). *See id.* § 261.201(k). However, we note section 261.201(l)(2) states any information excepted from required disclosure under the Act or other law may still be withheld from disclosure. *See id.* § 261.201(l)(2). Thus, we will consider your arguments.

Section 552.108 of the Government Code provides in relevant part the following:

(a) Information held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime is excepted from the requirements of Section 552.021 if:

...

(2) it is information that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime only in relation to an investigation that did not result in conviction or deferred adjudication[.]

...

(b) An internal record or notation of a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that is maintained for internal use in matters relating to law enforcement or prosecution is excepted from the requirements of Section 552.021 if:

...

(2) the internal record or notation relates to law enforcement only in relation to an investigation that did not result in conviction or deferred adjudication[.]

Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(2), (b)(2). A governmental body claiming section 552.108(a)(2) or section 552.108(b)(2) must demonstrate the information at issue relates to a criminal investigation that has concluded in a final result other than a conviction or deferred adjudication. *See id.* § 552.301(e)(1)(A) (governmental body must provide comments explaining why exceptions raised should apply to information requested). Section 552.108 may be invoked by the proper custodian of information relating to an investigation or prosecution of criminal conduct. *See Open Records Decision Nos. 474 at 4-5 (1987), 372 (1983).* Where an agency is in the custody of information that would otherwise qualify for exception under section 552.108 as information relating to the case of a different law enforcement agency, the custodian of the records may withhold the information only if it provides this office with (1) a demonstration that the information relates to the case, and (2) a representation from the entity with the law enforcement interest stating that entity wishes to withhold the information. You state the submitted information pertains to an investigation with the Guadalupe County Sheriff's Office (the "sheriff's office") that has concluded and did not result in a conviction or deferred adjudication. In this instance, you have not provided our office with any representation to indicate the sheriff's office wishes to have the information at issue withheld. Accordingly, the city has failed to demonstrate subsection 552.108(a)(2) or subsection 552.108(b)(2) of the Government Code is applicable

to the information at issue, and the city may not withhold any portion of the submitted information under subsection 552.108(a)(2) or subsection 552.108(b)(2).

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses common-law privacy, which protects information that is (1) highly intimate or embarrassing, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person and (2) not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be demonstrated. *See id.* at 681-82. Types of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court are delineated in *Industrial Foundation*. *Id.* at 683. Additionally, under the common-law right of privacy, an individual has a right to be free from the publicizing of private affairs in which the public has no legitimate concern. *Indus. Found.*, 540 S.W.2d at 682. In considering whether a public citizen's date of birth is private, the Third Court of Appeals looked to the supreme court's rationale in *Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts v. Attorney General of Texas*, 354 S.W.3d 336 (Tex. 2010). *Paxton v. City of Dallas*, No. 03-13-00546-CV, 2015 WL 3394061, at \*3 (Tex. App.—Austin May 22, 2015, pet. denied) (mem. op.). The supreme court concluded public employees' dates of birth are private under section 552.102 of the Government Code because the employees' privacy interest substantially outweighed the negligible public interest in disclosure.<sup>2</sup> *Texas Comptroller*, 354 S.W.3d at 347-48. Based on *Texas Comptroller*, the court of appeals concluded the privacy rights of public employees apply equally to public citizens, and thus, public citizens' dates of birth are also protected by common-law privacy pursuant to section 552.101. *City of Dallas*, 2015 WL 3394061, at \*3. We note common-law privacy protects personal privacy. Thus, the requestor has a right of access to her information under section 552.023 of the Government Code. *See* Gov't Code § 552.023(a); Open Records Decision No. 481 at (4) (1987) (privacy theories not implicated when individuals request information concerning themselves). With the exception of the date of birth belonging to the requestor, the city must withhold all public citizens' dates of birth in the submitted information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.

Section 552.1175 protects the home address, home telephone number, emergency contact information, date of birth, social security number, and family member information of certain individuals when that information is held by a governmental body in a non-employment capacity and the individual elects to keep the information confidential. Gov't Code § 552.1175. Section 552.1175 applies, in part, to "peace officers as defined by Article 2.12, Code of Criminal Procedure[.]" *Id.* § 552.1175(a)(1). Some of the remaining information pertains to an individual who was licensed as a peace officer at the time the information at issue was created, and the information at issue is not held in an employment context. Thus, if the information we indicated pertains to a currently licensed peace officer and the officer

---

<sup>2</sup>Section 552.102(a) excepts from disclosure "information in a personnel file, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy." Gov't Code § 552.102(a).

elects to restrict access to his information in accordance with section 552.1175(b), the city must withhold the information we indicated under section 552.1175. If the individual whose information we indicated is no longer a licensed peace officer or no election is made, the city may not withhold this information under section 552.1175.

Section 552.130 of the Government Code provides information relating to a motor vehicle operator's license, driver's license, motor vehicle title or registration, or personal identification document issued by an agency of this state or another state or country is excepted from public release. *See id.* § 552.130. Accordingly, the city must withhold the motor vehicle record information we marked and any audible motor vehicle information in the submitted video recordings under section 552.130 of the Government Code.

Section 552.137 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body" unless the member of the public consents to its release or the e-mail address is of a type specifically excluded by subsection (c). *See id.* § 552.137(a)-(c). Section 552.137 does not apply to an institutional e-mail address, the general e-mail address of a business, an e-mail address of a person who has a contractual relationship with a governmental body, an e-mail address of a vendor who seeks to contract with a governmental body, an e-mail address maintained by a governmental entity for one of its officials or employees, or an e-mail address provided to a governmental body on a letterhead. *See id.* § 552.137(c). Upon review, we find the city must withhold the e-mail addresses in the remaining information under section 552.137 of the Government Code, unless their owners affirmatively consent to their public disclosures or subsection (c) applies.

Section 552.139(b)(3) of the Government Code provides "a photocopy or other copy of an identification badge issued to an official or employee of a governmental body" is confidential. *Id.* § 552.139(b)(3). Accordingly, the city must withhold the identification badge of the city employee in the submitted video recordings under section 552.139(b)(3) of the Government Code.


In summary, with the exception of the date of birth belonging to the requestor, the city must withhold all public citizens' dates of birth in the submitted information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. If the information we indicated pertains to a currently licensed peace officer and the officer elects to restrict access to his information in accordance with section 552.1175(b), the city must withhold the information we indicated under section 552.1175. The city must withhold the motor vehicle record information we marked and any audible motor vehicle information in the submitted video recordings under section 552.130 of the Government Code. The city must withhold the e-mail addresses in the remaining information under section 552.137 of the Government Code, unless their owners affirmatively consent to their public disclosures or subsection (c) applies. The city must withhold the identification badge of the city

employee in the submitted video recordings under section 552.139(b)(3) of the Government Code. The city must release the remaining information to this requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Paige Thompson". The signature is written in a cursive style and is positioned above the typed name and title.

Paige Thompson  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

PT/dls

Ref: ID# 588243

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)