



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

January 26, 2016

Ms. Sylvia Rodriguez
Counsel for the City of Pearsall
Law Office of Sylvia Rodriguez
215 South Ash Street
Pearsall, Texas 78061

OR2016-01868

Dear Ms. Rodriguez:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 595557.

The City of Pearsall (the "city"), which you represent, received a request for the resumes and applications submitted by applicants for the city manager position.¹ You state the city will redact information pursuant to sections 552.130(c) and 552.147(b) of the Government Code and Open Records Decision No. 684 (2009).² You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.117, and 552.137 of the Government

¹You state the city sought and received clarification of the request for information. *See* Gov't Code §552.222(b) (stating that if information requested is unclear to governmental body or if a large amount of information has been requested, governmental body may ask requestor to clarify or narrow request, but may not inquire into purpose for which information will be used).

²Section 552.130(c) of the Government Code allows a governmental body to redact the information described in subsection 552.130(a) without the necessity of seeking a decision from the attorney general. *See* Gov't Code § 552.130(c). If a governmental body redacts such information, it must notify the requestor in accordance with section 552.130(e). *See id.* § 552.130(d), (e). Section 552.147(b) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact the social security number of a living person without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office under the Act. *See id.* § 552.147(b). Open Records Decision No. 684 serves as a previous determination to all governmental bodies authorizing them to withhold certain categories of information, including a DD-214 form under section 552.140 of the Government Code, without the necessity of requesting an attorney general decision. *See* ORD 684.

Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information that is (1) highly intimate or embarrassing, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be satisfied. *Id.* at 681-82. The types of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court are delineated in *Industrial Foundation*. *Id.* at 683. Upon review, we find no portion of the submitted information is highly intimate or embarrassing and of no legitimate public interest. Accordingly, the city may not withhold any of the submitted information under section 552.101 of the Government Code on the basis of common-law privacy.

Section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure the home address and telephone number, emergency contact information, social security number, and family member information of a current or former employee or official of a governmental body who requests this information be kept confidential under section 552.024 of the Government Code. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.117(a)(1). We note section 552.117 is also applicable to personal cellular telephone numbers, provided the cellular telephone service is not paid for by a governmental body. *See* Open Records Decision No. 506 at 5-6 (1988) (section 552.117 not applicable to cellular telephone numbers paid for by governmental body and intended for official use). We note the protections of section 552.117 only apply to information that the governmental body holds in its capacity as an employer. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.117(a)(1) (providing that employees of governmental entities may protect certain personal information in the hands of their employer); Open Records Decision No. 455 (1987) (statutory predecessor to section 552.117 does not except information pertaining to applicants who are not employees). Whether a particular item of information is protected by section 552.117(a)(1) must be determined at the time of the governmental body’s receipt of the request for the information. *See* Open Records Decision No. 530 at 5 (1989). Thus, information may only be withheld under section 552.117(a)(1) on behalf of a current or former employee who made a request for confidentiality under section 552.024 prior to the date of the governmental body’s receipt of the request for the information or not later than the 14th day after the date on which the employee began employment with the city. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.024(b). Information may not be withheld under section 552.117(a)(1) on behalf of a current or former employee or official who did not timely request under section 552.024 the information be kept confidential. Upon review, we conclude that, to the extent the applicant at issue was ultimately hired and timely requested confidentiality under section 552.024 of the Government Code, the city must withhold the information we have

marked under section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code; however, the city may only withhold the marked cellular telephone number if the cellular telephone service is not paid for by a governmental body. Conversely, to the extent the applicant was not ultimately hired or if the individual at issue did not timely request confidentiality under section 552.024, the city may not withhold the information we have marked under section 552.117(a)(1). However, we find the remaining information you have marked is not subject to section 552.117(a)(1) and it may not be withheld on that basis.

Section 552.137 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body” unless the member of the public consents to its release or the e-mail address is of a type specifically excluded by subsection (c). *See id.* § 552.137(a)-(c). Section 552.137 does not apply to an institutional e-mail address, the general e-mail address of a business, an e-mail address of a person who has a contractual relationship with a governmental body, an e-mail address of a vendor who seeks to contract with a governmental body, an e-mail address maintained by a governmental entity for one of its officials or employees, or an e-mail address provided to a governmental body on a letterhead. *See id.* § 552.137(c). Upon review, we find the e-mail address we have marked is not one of the types specifically excluded by section 552.137(c). Accordingly, the city must withhold the e-mail address we have marked under section 552.137 of the Government Code, unless the owner of the address affirmatively consents to its release. However, we find the remaining e-mail addresses you marked are e-mail addresses that are subject to section 552.137(c). Thus, the city may not withhold the remaining e-mail addresses under section 552.137.

In summary, to the extent the applicant at issue was ultimately hired and timely requested confidentiality under section 552.024 of the Government Code, the city must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code; however, the city may only withhold the marked cellular telephone number if the cellular telephone service is not paid for by a governmental body. The city must withhold the e-mail address we have marked under section 552.137 of the Government Code, unless the owner of the address affirmatively consents to its release. The city must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for

providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Britni Ramirez". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Britni Ramirez
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

BR/bhf

Ref: ID#595557

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)