



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

February 16, 2016

Mr. Richard A. McCracken
Assistant City Attorney
Office of the City Attorney
City of Fort Worth
1000 Throckmorton Street, 3rd Floor
Fort Worth, Texas 76102

OR2016-03699

Dear Mr. McCracken:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 598312 (PIR# W047387).

The City of Fort Worth (the "city") received a request for the bids submitted for a specified request for proposals. Although you take no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under the Act, you state release of the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of MobileNOW!, LLC ("Mobile Now"); Pango USA, LLC; Parkmobile, LLC; PassportParking, Inc.; and PaybyPhone Technologies, Inc. Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, you notified the third parties of the request for information and of their rights to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have received comments from Mobile Now. We have reviewed the submitted information and the submitted arguments.

Initially, we note an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have only received

comments from Mobile Now explaining why its information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude the remaining third parties have protected proprietary interests in their information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 61 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the city may not withhold any of the information at issue on the basis of any proprietary interests the remaining third parties may have in it.

Section 552.104(a) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information that, if released, would give advantage to a competitor or bidder.” Gov’t Code § 552.104(a). A private third party may invoke this exception. *Boeing Co. v. Paxton*, 466 S.W.3d 831 (Tex. 2015). The “test under section 552.104 is whether knowing another bidder’s [or competitor’s information] would be an advantage, not whether it would be a decisive advantage.” *Id.* at 841. Mobile Now states it has competitors. In addition, Mobile Now states release of its information “could be used to the advantage of a bid competitor.” After review of the information at issue and consideration of the arguments, we find Mobile Now has established the release of the information at issue would give an advantage to a competitor or bidder. Thus, we conclude the city may withhold Mobile Now’s information under section 552.104(a).¹

Section 552.136 of the Government Code states, in part, “Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential.”² Gov’t Code § 552.136(b); *see also id.* § 552.136(a) (defining “access device”). This office has determined an insurance policy number is an access device number for the purposes of section 552.136. *See* Open Records Decision No. 684 (2009). Accordingly, the city must withhold the insurance policy numbers within the remaining information under section 552.136 of the Government Code.

We note some of the remaining information may be protected by copyright. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Open Records Decision No. 180 at 3 (1977). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.*; *see* Open Records Decision No. 109 (1975). If a member of the public

¹As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address Mobile Now’s remaining arguments against disclosure of its information.

²The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit.

In summary, the city may withhold Mobile Now's information under section 552.104 of the Government Code. The city must withhold the insurance policy numbers within the remaining information under section 552.136 of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released; however, any information subject to copyright must be released in accordance with copyright law.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Mili Gosar
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

MG/bw

Ref: ID# 598312

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. John Oglesby
President & CEO MibileNOW!
L.L.C.
2275 Research Boulevard, Suite
500
Rockville, Maryland 20850
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Neil Edwards
President Pango USA, L.L.C.
460 Park Avenue South, Suite 202
New York, New York 10016
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Christian Gutierrez
CBDO, passportParking, Inc.
1300 South Mint Street, Suite 200
Charlotte, North Carolina 28203
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Diane Burgess
Senior Sales Manager
PayByPhone Technologies
403-1168 Hamilton Street
Vancouver, British Columbia V6B 2S2
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Cherie M. Fuzzell
CEO Parkmobile, L.L.C.
3200 Cobb Galleria Parkway, Suite 100
Atlanta, Georgia 30339
(w/o enclosures)