



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

April 8, 2016

Mr. Albert López
Attorney for the City of Laredo
Law Offices of Albert López
14310 Northbrook Drive, Suite 200
San Antonio, Texas 78232

OR2016-07963

Dear Mr. López:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 605120.

The City of Laredo (the "city"), which you represent, received two requests from different requestors for multiple categories of information pertaining to a named individual and a specified incident involving the named individual. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.103, 552.108, and 552.117 of the Government Code. You state you have released some information. You state the city has no information responsive to a portion of the request.¹ We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information, some of which is a representative sample.²

We note the submitted information contains Texas Commission on Law Enforcement ("TCOLE") identification numbers.³ Section 552.002(a) of the Government Code defines

¹The Act does not require a governmental body that receives a request for information to create information that did not exist when the request was received. *See Econ. Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266 (Tex. Civ. App.—San Antonio 1978, writ dismissed); Open Records Decision Nos. 605 at 2 (1992), 563 at 8 (1990), 555 at 1-2 (1990), 452 at 3 (1986), 362 at 2 (1983).

²We assume the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

³The Texas Commission on Law Enforcement Officer Standards and Education was renamed the Texas Commission on Law Enforcement by the 83rd Legislature. *See* Act of May 6, 2013, 83rd Leg., R.S., ch. 93, § 1.01, 2013 Tex. Gen. Laws 174, 174.

“public information” as information that is written, produced, collected, assembled, or maintained under a law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business:

(1) by a governmental body;

(2) for a governmental body and the governmental body:

(A) owns the information;

(B) has a right of access to the information; or

(C) spends or contributes public money for the purpose of writing, producing, collecting, assembling, or maintaining the information; or

(3) by an individual officer or employee of a governmental body in the officer’s or employee’s official capacity and the information pertains to official business of the governmental body.

Gov’t Code § 552.002(a). In Open Records Decision No. 581 (1990), this office determined certain computer information, such as source codes, documentation information, and other computer programming, that has no significance other than its use as a tool for the maintenance, manipulation, or protection of public property is not the kind of information made public under section 552.021 of the Government Code. We understand an officer’s TCOLE identification number is a unique computer-generated number assigned to peace officers for identification in TCOLE’s electronic database, and may be used as an access device number on the TCOLE website. Accordingly, we find the TCOLE identification numbers in the submitted information do not constitute public information under section 552.002 of the Government Code. Therefore, the TCOLE identification numbers are not subject to the Act and need not be released to the requestor.

We note the city raises section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 101.104 of the Civil Practice and Remedies Code for a portion of the submitted information. Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. This exception encompasses information other statutes make confidential. Section 101.104 of the Civil Practice and Remedies Code provides:

(a) Neither the existence nor the amount of insurance held by a governmental unit is admissible in the trial of a suit under [the Texas Tort Claims Act].

(b) Neither the existence nor the amount of the insurance is subject to discovery.

Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code § 101.104. You claim a portion of the submitted information is confidential under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 101.104 of the Civil Practice and Remedies Code. Section 101.104 prohibits the discovery and admission of insurance information during a trial under the Texas Tort Claims Act, chapter 101 of the Civil Practice and Remedies Code. *See City of Bedford v. Schattman*, 776 S.W.2d 812, 813-14 (Tex. App.—Fort Worth 1989, orig. proceeding) (protection from producing evidence of insurance coverage under section 101.104 is limited to actions brought under Texas Tort Claims Act). However, section 101.104 does not make insurance information confidential for purposes of section 552.101 of the Government Code. *See Open Records Decision No. 551 at 3 (1990)* (provisions of section 101.104 “are not relevant to the availability of the information to the public”). The Act differs in purpose from statutes and procedural rules providing for discovery in judicial proceedings. *See Gov’t Code §§ 552.005 (Act does not affect scope of civil discovery), .006 (Act does not authorize withholding public information or limit availability of public information to public except as expressly provided by Act); see also Attorney General Opinion JM-1048 (1989); Open Records Decision No. 575 (1990) (overruled in part by Open Records Decision No. 647 (1996)) (section 552.101 does not encompass discovery privileges)*. Thus, we find section 101.104 of the Civil Practice and Remedies Code does not make the information at issue confidential for purposes of section 552.101 of the Government Code. Therefore, the city may not withhold any portion of the submitted information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 101.104 of the Civil Practice and Remedies Code.

Next, we note the submitted information includes court-filed documents, which are subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code. Section 552.022(a)(17) provides for the required public disclosure of “information that is also contained in a public court record” unless it is “made confidential under [the Act] or other law[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.022(a)(17). Although you raise sections 552.103 and 552.108 of the Government Code, these are discretionary exceptions and do not make information confidential under the Act. *See Dallas Area Rapid Transit v. Dallas Morning News*, 4 S.W.3d 469, 475-76 (Tex. App.—Dallas 1999, no pet.) (governmental body may waive section 552.103); *see also Open Records Decision Nos. 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions generally), 663 at 5 (1999) (waiver of discretionary exceptions), 177 at 3 (1977) (statutory predecessor to section 552.108 subject to waiver)*. Therefore, the court-filed documents we have marked may not be withheld under section 552.103 or section 552.108. As you raise no further exceptions against disclosure of this information, it must be released. However, we will address the applicability of section 552.103 to the remaining information, which is not subject to section 552.022.

Section 552.103 of the Government Code provides as follows:

- (a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or

employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party.

...

(c) Information relating to litigation involving a governmental body or an officer or employee of a governmental body is excepted from disclosure under Subsection (a) only if the litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date that the requestor applies to the officer for public information for access to or duplication of the information.

Gov't Code § 552.103(a), (c). A governmental body has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show the section 552.103(a) exception is applicable in a particular situation. The test for meeting this burden is a showing (1) litigation was pending or reasonably anticipated on the date the governmental body received the request for information, and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *Univ. of Tex. Law Sch. v. Tex. Legal Found.*, 958 S.W.2d 479, 481 (Tex. App.—Austin 1997, orig. proceeding); *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.); ORD No. 551 at 4. A governmental body must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted under section 552.103(a). *See* ORD 551.

The question of whether litigation is reasonably anticipated must be determined on a case-by-case basis. *See* Open Records Decision No. 452 at 4 (1986). To demonstrate litigation is reasonably anticipated, the governmental body must provide this office "concrete evidence showing that the claim that litigation may ensue is more than mere conjecture." *Id.* We note the fact that a potential opposing party has hired an attorney who makes a request for information does not establish that litigation is reasonably anticipated. *See* Open Records Decision No. 361 (1983). This office has concluded, when a governmental body receives a notice of claim letter, it can meet its burden of showing that litigation is reasonably anticipated by representing the notice of claim letter is in compliance with the requirements of the Texas Tort Claims Act (the "TTCA"), Civil Practice and Remedies Code chapter 101, or an applicable municipal ordinance. Open Records Decision No. 638 (1996). If that representation is not made, the receipt of a claim letter is a factor we will consider in determining, from the totality of the circumstances presented, whether the governmental body has established litigation is reasonably anticipated.

You state, and provide documentation showing, prior to the receipt of the instant requests, the city received a notice of claim against the city for wrongful death of the named individual and negligence under the TTCA. You do not affirmatively represent to this office the notice of claim complies with the TTCA; therefore, we will only consider the notice of claim as a factor in determining whether the city reasonably anticipated litigation over the incident in question. Nevertheless, based on your representation, our review of the submitted information, and the totality of the circumstances, we determine the city has established it reasonably anticipated litigation prior to the date it received the requests for information. We further find the information at issue is related to the anticipated litigation for purposes of

section 552.103. Accordingly, we conclude the city may generally withhold the remaining information under section 552.103 of the Government Code.

We note, however, some of the information at issue involves alleged criminal activity. Information normally found on the front page of an offense or incident report is generally considered public. *Houston Chronicle Publ'g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist] 1975), *writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976); *see* Open Records Decision No. 127 (1976) (summarizing types of information considered to be basic information). This office has stated basic information about a crime may not be withheld under section 552.103 of the Government Code, even if it is related to litigation. Open Records Decision No. 362 (1983). Thus, we find the basic offense information from the information at issue may not be withheld on the basis of section 552.103. Basic information refers to the information held to be public in *Houston Chronicle*. *See* 531 S.W.2d at 186-88; Open Records Decision No. 127 (1976) (summarizing types of information considered to be basic information). We note basic information includes, among other items, a detailed description of the offense. *See* ORD 127 at 3-4. Therefore, with the exception of basic information, which must be released, the city may withhold the remaining information under section 552.103 of the Government Code.⁴

We further note the purpose of section 552.103 is to enable a governmental body to protect its position in litigation by forcing parties to obtain information relating to litigation through discovery procedures. *See* ORD 551 at 4-5. Thus, once information has been obtained by all parties to the litigation through discovery or otherwise, no section 552.103(a) interest exists with respect to that information. Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). Further, the applicability of section 552.103(a) ends when the litigation has concluded. Attorney General Opinion MW-575 at 2 (1982); Open Records Decision Nos. 350 at 3 (1982), 349 at 2.

In summary, the city must release the court-signed documents, which we have marked, pursuant to section 552.022. With the exception of basic information, which must be released, the city may withhold the remaining information under section 552.103.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/>

⁴As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining arguments against disclosure of this information, except to note section 552.108 of the Government Code does not except from disclosure basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime. Gov't Code § 552.108(c).

[orl_ruling_info.shtml](#), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Joseph Keeney
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JDK/dls

Ref: ID# 605120

Enc. Submitted documents

c: 2 Requestors
(w/o enclosures)