



KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

July 29, 2016

Ms. June Harden
Assistant Attorney General
Assistant Public Information Coordinator
Office of the Attorney General
Post Office Box 12548
Austin, Texas 78711-2548

OR2016-16591

Dear Ms. Harden:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act, chapter 552 of the Government Code. This request was originally received by the Open Records Division ("ORD") of this office and assigned ID# 625139. Preparation of the ruling has been assigned to the Opinion Committee.

The Office of the Attorney General ("OAG") received a public information request for:

Each and every record in the OAG's Office in any format relating to a letter from Mr. Habib Erkan or anyone else at the firm of Denton, Navarro, Rocha, Bernal, Hyde, & Zech, P.C. seeking an AG Opinion as to whether they could withhold information responsive to an ORR to the City of Jourdanton, said letter being thought to have been submitted to the OAG on or about the date of November 5, 2015.

You tell us that the OAG will release most of the requested information. You claim the information submitted in Exhibit B is excepted from disclosure under Government Code section 552.107(1). We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the representative sample of information submitted in Exhibit B.¹

¹This letter ruling assumes the submitted representative sample of information is truly representative of the requested information as a whole. This ruling does not reach and therefore does not authorize the withholding of any other requested information to the extent the other information is substantially different than that submitted to this office. *See* TEX. GOV'T CODE §§ 552.301(e)(1)(D), .302; Tex. Att'y Gen. ORD-499 (1988) at 6, ORD-497 (1988) at 4.

Section 552.107(1) of the Government Code protects information that comes within the attorney-client privilege. TEX. GOV'T CODE § 552.107(1). When asserting the attorney-client privilege, a governmental body has the burden of providing the necessary facts to demonstrate the elements of the privilege in order to withhold the information at issue. Tex. Att'y Gen. ORD-676 (2002) at 6-7. First, a governmental body must demonstrate "the information constitutes or documents a communication." *Id.* at 7. Second, the communication must have been made for the purpose of facilitating "the rendition of professional legal services to the client" governmental body. TEX. R. EVID. 503(b)(1). The privilege does not apply when an attorney or representative is involved in some capacity other than that of providing or facilitating professional legal services to the client governmental body. *See In re Tex. Farmers Ins. Exch.*, 990 S.W.2d 337, 340 (Tex. App.—Texarkana 1999, orig. proceeding), *mand. denied*, 12 S.W.3d 807 (Tex. 2000) (stating that the attorney-client "privilege does not apply if the attorney is acting in a capacity other than that of an attorney"). Governmental attorneys often act in capacities other than that of professional legal counsel, such as administrators, investigators, or managers. Thus, the mere fact that a communication involves an attorney for the government does not demonstrate this element. Third, the privilege applies only to communications between or among clients, client representatives, lawyers, and lawyer representatives. TEX. R. EVID. 503(b)(1)(A)–(E). Thus, a governmental body must inform this office of the identities and capacities of the individuals to whom each communication at issue has been made. Lastly, the attorney-client privilege applies to only a confidential communication, *id.* 503(b)(1), meaning it was "not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those . . . to whom disclosure is made to further the rendition of professional legal services to the client [or those] reasonably necessary to transmit the communication." *Id.* 503(a)(5).

Whether a communication meets this definition depends on the intent of the parties involved at the time the information was communicated. *See Osborne v. Johnson*, 954 S.W.2d 180, 184 (Tex. App.—Waco 1997, orig. proceeding [mand. denied]) (stating that "the issue of confidentiality focuses on the intent of the parties at the time the communications are made"). Moreover, because the client may elect to waive the privilege at any time, a governmental body must explain that the confidentiality of a communication has been maintained. Section 552.107(1) generally excepts an entire communication that is demonstrated to be protected by the attorney-client privilege unless otherwise waived by the governmental body. *See Huie v. DeShazo*, 922 S.W.2d 920, 923 (Tex. 1996) (orig. proceeding) (recognizing that the privilege extends to the "entire communication, including facts contained therein").


You state that the documents in Exhibit B consist of internal ORD tracking sheets. You tell us that the tracking sheets reveal "communications between and among ORD attorneys while drafting, reviewing, editing, and revising draft letter rulings." You further state that the tracking sheets are "used by ORD attorneys to communicate their legal advice and opinions." You state that all of the communications provided under Exhibit B were not "intended to be disclosed and none have been disclosed to non-privileged parties." Based on your representations and our review, we conclude that the information you have provided

under Exhibit B is subject to the attorney-client privilege and may be withheld under section 552.107(1) of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Charlotte M. Harper
Assistant Attorney General
Opinion Committee

CMH/sdk

Ref: ID# 625139

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)