



**KEN PAXTON**  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

September 7, 2016

Mr. Jeffrey L. Moore  
Counsel for the City of The Colony  
Brown & Hofmeister, LLP  
740 East Campbell Road, Suite 800  
Richardson, Texas 75081

OR2016-20212

Dear Mr. Moore:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 625624.

The City of The Colony (the "city"), which you represent, received a request for records related to a named individual. You state the city has released some of the requested information. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note the submitted information contains a court order of restricted access. The order was entered in accordance with section 58.203 of the Family Code, which states the Texas Department of Public Safety ("DPS") shall certify that juvenile law enforcement records are subject to automatic restriction of access under certain circumstances. Fam. Code § 58.203. Section 58.207 of the Family Code provides in relevant part:

(a) On certification of records in a case under Section 58.203, the juvenile court shall order:

(1) that the following records relating to the case may be accessed only as provided by Section 58.204(b):

...

(E) records maintained by a law enforcement agency[.]

...

(b) Except as provided by Subsection (c), on receipt of an order under Subsection (a)(1), the agency maintaining the records:

(1) may allow access only as provided by Section 58.204(b); and

(2) shall respond to a request for information about the records by stating that the records do not exist.

(c) Notwithstanding Subsection (b) of this section and Section 58.206(b), with the written permission of the subject of the records, an agency under Subsection (a)(1) may allow military personnel, including a recruiter, of this state or the United States to access juvenile records in the same manner authorized by law for records to which access has not been restricted under this section.

*Id.* § 58.207(a)(1)(E), (b), (c). In this instance, the requestor identifies himself as a recruiter for the United States Army (the “Army”). The requested information involves an individual who is a potential enlistee in the Army. As noted above, section 58.207(c) provides access upon the written permission of the individual to “military personnel, including a recruiter, of this state or the United States[.]” *Id.* § 58.207(c). The submitted documentation reveals the individual being investigated provided the Army with a signed authorization for the release of the information at issue. Accordingly, the city may allow the requestor access to the submitted information in the same manner authorized by law for records in which access has not been restricted under section 58.207. Therefore, we will address your arguments under section 552.101 of the Government Code for the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from public disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses information protected by other statutes. Juvenile law enforcement records relating to conduct that occurred on or after September 1, 1997 are confidential under section 58.007(c) of the Family Code. For purposes of section 58.007(c), “child” means a person who is ten years of age or older and under seventeen years of age when the conduct occurred. *See* Fam. Code § 51.02(2). The relevant language of section 58.007 reads as follows:

(c) Except as provided by Subsection (d), law enforcement records and files concerning a child and information stored, by electronic means or otherwise,

concerning the child from which a record or file could be generated may not be disclosed to the public and shall be:

(1) if maintained on paper or microfilm, kept separate from adult files and records;

(2) if maintained electronically in the same computer system as records or files relating to adults, be accessible under controls that are separate and distinct from controls to access electronic data concerning adults; and

(3) maintained on a local basis only and not sent to a central state or federal depository, except as provided by Subchapters B, D, and E.

...

(e) Law enforcement records and files concerning a child may be inspected or copied by a juvenile justice agency as that term is defined by Section 58.101, a criminal justice agency as that term is defined by Section 411.082, Government Code, the child, and the child's parent or guardian.

...

(j) Before a child or a child's parent or guardian may inspect or copy a record or file concerning the child under Subsection (e), the custodian of the record or file shall redact:

...

(2) any information that is excepted from required disclosure under Chapter 552, Government Code, or other law.

*Id.* § 58.007(c), (e), (j)(2). We find the submitted information involves allegations of a juvenile engaged in delinquent conduct or conduct indicating a need for supervision that occurred after September 1, 1997. *See id.* § 51.03(a)-(b) (defining “delinquent conduct” and “conduct indicating a need for supervision” for purposes of section 58.007). Thus, the submitted information is confidential under section 58.007(c) of the Family Code. However, pursuant to section 58.007(e), the juvenile may inspect law enforcement records concerning him or herself. *Id.* § 58.007(e). Thus, because the Army received signed, written consent for release from the individual, the requestor, as the juvenile's authorized representative, has a right to inspect juvenile law enforcement records concerning this individual pursuant to section 58.007(e). Therefore, the city may not withhold the submitted information from this

requestor under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 58.007(c) of the Family Code. However, we note section 58.007(j)(2) provides information subject to any other exception to disclosure under the Act or other law must be redacted. *See id.* § 58.007(j)(2). Thus, we will address your remaining argument against disclosure of the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses section 261.201 of the Family Code, which provides as follows:

(a) [T]he following information is confidential, is not subject to public release under Chapter 552, Government Code, and may be disclosed only for purposes consistent with [the Family Code] and applicable federal or state law or under rules adopted by an investigating agency:

(1) a report of alleged or suspected abuse or neglect made under this chapter and the identity of the person making the report; and

(2) except as otherwise provided in this section, the files, reports, records, communications, audiotapes, videotapes, and working papers used or developed in an investigation under this chapter or in providing services as a result of an investigation.

*Id.* § 261.201(a). Upon review, we find the submitted information was used or developed by the city's police department (the "department") in an investigation of alleged child abuse or neglect under chapter 261. *See id.* § 261.001(1), (4) (defining "abuse" and "neglect" for purposes of Family Code ch. 261); *see also id.* § 101.003(a) (defining "child" for purposes of this section as person under 18 years of age who is not and has not been married or who has not had the disabilities of minority removed for general purposes). We have no indication the department has adopted a rule governing the release of this type of information. Accordingly, we assume that no such regulation exists. Given that assumption, we find the submitted information is confidential pursuant to section 261.201(a) of the Family Code.

As previously noted, the requestor is a recruiter for the Army and indicates the individual named in the requested information is a potential enlistee in the Army. The United States Department of Defense (the "DoD") is authorized to perform background investigations of persons seeking acceptance or retention in the armed services. *See* 5 U.S.C. § 9101(b)(1)(C); *see also id.* § 9101(a)(6)(A) (DoD is a covered agency for purposes of section 9101). The Army has a right to the criminal history record information ("CHRI") of state and local criminal justice agencies when its investigation is conducted with the consent of the individual being investigated. *See id.* § 9101(b)(1), (c); *see also* 10 U.S.C. § 111(b)(6) (DoD includes the Department of the Army). CHRI is defined as "information collected by criminal justice agencies on individuals consisting of identifiable descriptions and notations

of arrests, indictments, informations, or other formal criminal charges, and any disposition arising therefrom, sentencing, correction supervision, and release,” but does not include “identification information such as fingerprint records to the extent that such information does not indicate involvement in the criminal justice system” or “records of a State or locality sealed pursuant to law from access by State and local criminal justice agencies of that State or locality.” *Id.* § 9101(a)(2).

Federal law provides the Army’s right of access to CHRI preempts state confidentiality provisions. *Id.* § 9101(b)(4) (section 9101 “shall apply notwithstanding any other provision of law . . . of any State”). We conclude the Army’s right of access under federal law preempts section 261.201 of the Family Code, the state confidentiality provision at issue. *See English v. General Elec. Co.*, 496 U.S. 72, 79 (1990) (noting state law is preempted to extent it actually conflicts with federal law); *see also La. Pub. Serv. Comm’n v. FCC*, 476 U.S. 355, 369 (1986) (noting a federal agency acting within scope of its congressionally delegated authority may preempt state regulation). However, federal law also provides the Army’s right of access is contingent on receiving written consent from the individual under investigation for the release of such CHRI. *See* 5 U.S.C. § 9101(c). As noted above, the Army received signed, written consent for release from the individual being investigated. Therefore, the city must release CHRI to this requestor and must withhold the remaining information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 261.201(a) of the Family Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Claire V. Morris Sloan  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

CVMS/som

Ref: ID# 625624

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)