

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS AUSTIN

GROVER SELLERS
ATTORNEY GENERAL

Monorable C. Simmons Jounty Auditor Menderson County Athens. Texas

Bear Sir: .

Opinion No. 0-7474

Re: Does the Commissioners' Court
of Henderson County have the
authority to employ an attorney
to act as legal advisor for the
Court and expend county funds
to pay him a monthly fee or
salary for said services?

We have received your inquiry as to whether or not the Commissioners' Court of Henderson County has authority to employ an attorney to act as legal advisor for the Court and expend county funds to pay him a monthly fee or salary for said services.

We quote from Texas Jurisprudence, Vol. 11, page 575, as follows:

"The Commissioners' Court has power to employ attorneys to assist the regular constituted officers of the county in the prosecution of its claims and suits, and to pay for such services out of the county funds. It seems, however, that the Commissioners' Court does not have the power to deprive the County Attorney of his rightful authority in this regard. The employment of counsel is restricted to special cases where the services of an attorney are required; nor has the Court power to make an order which will warrant the payment of county money to an attorney for services neit or required nor performed. Adams v. Seagler, 250 5.W. 413; Gibson v. Davis, 236 S.W. 202; Grooms v. Atascosa County, 32 S.W. 188."

The Commissioners' Court may employ counsel and compensate him from the general fund when the matters involved are those concerning the county as a whole, where the regularly constituted public officials could not or would not act, or where public interest was so great as to warrant such extra-legal services. City National Bank v. Presidio County, 26 S.W. 775; Galveston County v. Gresham, 220 S.W.

ng communication is to be construed as a departmental opinion unless approved by the attorney general or pirst assista

Hon. C. Simmons - Page 2

560, error refused; Opinions of the Attorney General of Texas No. 0-4955 and No. 0-1372.

Article 334, Vernon's Annotated Civil Statutes, was intended to impose a duty upon the County and District attorneys to give advice to the Commissioners' Court when request is made therefor, but this is not a restriction upon the Commissioners' Court in the employment of attorneys to advise and render services to the Court in important matters coming before it for its consideration. Gibson v. Davis, 236 S.W. 202.

In the case of Grooms v. Ataeosa County, 32 S.W. 1880, the Commissioners' Court sought to employ an attorney as legal advisor for one year. The Court of Civil Appeals held that the Commissioners' Court was not authorized to make such a contract, and could not pay an attorney for services neither required nor performed.

The decisions on this question have contemplated employment and remuneration of counsel by the Commissioners' Courts only for a specific litigation, or advice on a particular matter or problem.

It is our considered opinion that the law does not intend that the Commissioners' Court shall employ counsel on a salary basis to advise and represent it in whatever matters might arise.

Therefore, we enswer your question in the negative.

Very truly yours

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

୍ର 1948

MIRCVED BLV

constant

William B. Henloy, Jr.

Assistant

WBH:djm

