

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

OF TEXAS

AUSTIN 11, TEXAS

PRICE DANIEL ATTORNEY GENERAL

November 17, 1950

Hon, Sam Lee County Attorney Brazoria County Angleton, Texas Opinion No. V-1123.

Re: Legality of accepting delinquent school district or road district taxes when the tender omits taxes barred by limitation.

Dear Mr. Lee:

Your request for an opinion presents the following ques-

tions:

"(1) Must the Tax Collector of Brazoria County, Texas, receive a tender for payment of delinquent taxes where the same omits payment of those taxes which are barred by limitation under Article 7298, viz., road district taxes and school district taxes more than ten years delinquent?

"(2) In the event that it is held that the Tax Collector must accept this tender of tax moneys, must he issue a receipt to the taxpayer for the same?

"(3) In the event he must accept the tax moneys and issue a receipt therefor, would the taxpayer be entitled to a redemption certificate if all of the taxes were paid by the taxpayer with the exception of the common school district or road district taxes delinquent for more than ten years?"

Your first question has heretofore been answered by this office in Opinion No. 0-4495 (1942), a copy of which is herewith enclosed. The conclusions reached in that opinion compel a negative answer to your first question.

Since Opinion 0-4495 (1942) was rendered the Supreme Court in 1947 in the case of Sam Bassett Lumber Co. v. City of Houston, 145 Tex. 492, 198 S.W.2d 379 (1947), definitely settled the question that Article 7298, V.C.S., is a statute of limitation which goes only to the remedy available to the taxpayer in a suit for taxes, and does not have the effect of releasing or extinguishing the tax liability. Hon. Sam Lee, Page 2 (V-1123)

It is quite true that under the 1932 amendment to Section 55 of Article III of the Constitution, the Legislature could, if it desired to do so, release or extinguish this tax liability; but Article 7298, last amended in 1931, fixing the ten-year statute of limitation as to school district and road district taxes, does not have this effect. The Legislature, however, in 1935, pursuant to the authority granted by the 1932 amendment to Section 55 of Article III of the Constitution, did release and extinguish all ad valurem taxes due the State, county, municipality or other defined subdivision that were delinquent prior to December 31, 1919, by the emectment of Section 1 of Article 7336f, which reads as follows:

"The collection of all delinquent, ad valorem taxes due the State, County, Municipality or other defined Subdivision that were delinquent prior to December 31st, 1919, is forever barred."

The language of this provision of the statute is quite different from the language used in Article 7298, fixing a ten-year statute of limitation as to school and road district taxes. The language of Section 1 of Article 7336f is, "The collection of all delinquent, ad valorem taxes due the State, County, Municipality or other defined Subdivision that were delinquent prior to December 31, 1919, is forever barred"; whereas, the language of Article 7298 is, "no suit shall be brought for the collection of delinquent taxes of a School District or Road District unless instituted within ten years from the time the same shall become delinquent."

An examination of the full context of prior opinions of this office, referred to in your request, do not conflict with our Opinion V-734 (1948), pertaining to drainage district taxes. This opinion was confined exclusively to drainage district taxes. As held in that opinion and the supporting authorities cited, "Such districts are created by constitutional and statutory authority, and exist separate and apart from the counties wherein they are located. . . . In the absence of some statutory authority requiring the taxpayer to pay his drainage tax, which is a separate tax and secured by a separate lien, at the same time he pays his State and County taxes, such a requirement is unauthorized, notwithstanding his drainage tax is upon the same tax roll as the State and County taxes."

Our negative answer to your first question makes unnecessary an answer to your second and third questions.

SUMMARY

Article 7298, V.C.S., is a statute of limitation that goes to the remedy available to a taxpayer in a suit to collect school and road district taxes, and does not have the effect of releasing or extinguishing such taxes. It is therefore proper for the tax collector to refuse to accept State and county taxes without the payment of school and road district taxes assessed on the same roll even though the latter are delinquent for ten years of more.

Yours very truly,

PRICE DANIEL Attorney General

L. Q. Tacco I By L. P. Lollar Assistant

APPROVED:

W. V. Geppert Taxation Division

Everett Hutchinson Executive Assistant

Charles D. Mathews First Assistant

LPL/mwb