

## THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

**OF TEXAS** 

AUSTIN 11, TEXAS

PRICE DANIEL ATTORNEY GENERAL

November 19, 1951

Hon. George M. Kelton County Attorney Ector County Odesse, Texas Opinion No. V-1346.

Re: Legality of a person's being at the same time a justice of the peace and a laborer for the "Park Division" of a county.

Dear Sir:

You have requested an opinion on the following question:

"Your office is respectfully requested to furnish an opinion as to whether or not a county employee in the capacity of isborer for the Park Division may at the same time serve as Justice of the Peace for one of the precincts in said county."

The prohibition of Section 40 of Article XVI of the Constitution against the holding of more than one civil office of emolument by the same person at the same time does not apply to the office of justice of the peace for the reason that this office is expressly excepted from its provisions. Att'y Gen. Op. V-828 (1949). Also, it is our opinion that Section 40 of Article XVI has no application to your request, since a laborer in the "Park Division" is not a civil office of emolument.

The remaining question to be determined is whether the duties of a laborer in the "Park Division" of a county and the duties of the office of justice of the peace are incompatible. The tests to be applied in determining incompatibility are concisely stated in <u>Knuckles v. Board of Seucetion of Bell County</u>, 272 Ky. 431, 114 S.W.2d 511 (1938), as follows:

"One of the most important tests as to whether offices are incompatible is found in the principle that the incompatibility is recognized whenever one is subordinate to the

## Hon. George M. Kelton, page 2 (V-1346)

other in some of its important and principal duties, or is subject to supervision by the other, or where a contrariety and antagoniam would result in the attempt by one person to discharge the duties of both. Under this principle two offices are incompatible where the incumbent of one has the power to remove the incumbent of the other, though the contingency on which the power may be exercised is remote, and it also exists where the incumbent of one office has the power of appointment as to the other office."

Mechem on Public Offices and Officers (1890), Sec. 422, p. 268, states the rule as follows:

"It seems to be well settled that the mere physical impossibility of one person's performing the duties of the two offices as from the lack of time or the insbility to be in two places at the same moment, is not the incompatibility here referred to. It must be an inconsistency in the functions of the two offices, as judge and clerk of the same court, claimant and auditor, and the like."

Applying these tests to the positions in question, we are unable to perceive any incompatibility, for neither is subject to any supervision of the other, nor would any antegonism result in an attempt by one person to discharge the duties of both.

We know of no constitutional or statutory provision prohibiting a person's being at the same time a justice of the peace and a laborer for the "Park Division" of a county. In view of the foregoing, we agree with your conclusion that a county employee in the capacity of a laborer for the "Park Division" may at the same time serve as justice of the peace.

## SUMMARY

A county employee in the capacity of laborer for the "Parks Division" is not Hon. George M. Kelton, page 3 (V-1346)

prohibited from being at the same time a justice of the peace.

APPROVED:

J. C. Davis, Jr. County Affairs Division

Everett Hutchinson Executive Assistant

Charles D. Methews First Assistant

JR:wh

Yours very truly,

PRICE DANIEL Attorney General

Kento By John Reeves

Assistant

k.