

## THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

CRAWFORD C. MARTIN
ATTORNEY GENERAL

Austin, Texas 78711

July 24, 1967

Hon. D. C. Greer State Highway Engineer Texas Highway Department Austin, Texas

Dear Mr. Greer:

Opinion No. M-108

Re: Validity of appropriation to the Texas Highway Department to construct and maintain railroad protective devices.

Your request for an opinion on the above subject matter concerns the validity of Item 22 of Article III of the Appropriation to the Highway Department contained in Senate Bill 15, Acts of the 60th Legislature, Regular Session, 1967 (appropriation for the year ending August 31, 1968). Item 22, above referred to, reads as follows:

For the Year Ending August 31, 1968

"In addition to other State funds expended for similar purposes under previously existing policy, there is hereby provided from the State Highway Fund for joint participation by the Texas Highway Department and the respective railroad companies operating in the State a sum of moneys to be expended and administered by the Texas Highway Department under rules and regulations established by it for the welfare and safety of the traveling public to construct and maintain grade crossing protective devices on Federal, State and County highways and City streets on the distribution formula heretofore established by the Texas Highway Department applicable to the construction of such grade crossing protective devices on State highways, and directing the Department to develop standards and criteria for the proper

## Hon. D. C. Greer, page 2 (M-108)

priority allocation of such funds to specific grade crossings demanding the installation and maintenance based upon incidence of automotive and train traffic at the crossing, accident experience, observation obstruction and other. designed to foster and establish a consistent and orderly program to eliminate hazards to the public on the public highways, roads and streets in the State and provided that in arriving at costs payable by the State for the construction and maintenance provided herein, payment may be made by the Department to qualified recipients by fixed sum determined on a formula basis or otherwise under such rules and regulations as the Department may establish with a view toward simplification of the auditing and accounting involved; such sum established to be expended only for the state purposes and to be

\$1,500,000"

General legislation cannot be embodied within a general appropriation bill. Moore vs. Sheppard, 144 Tex. 537, 192 S.W.2d 599 (1946). However, a general appropriation bill may contain general provisions and details limiting and restricting the use of funds therein appropriated if such provisions are necessarily connected with and incidental to the appropriation and use of funds if they do not conflict with or amount to general legislation. Conley vs. Daughters of the Republic, 106 Tex. 80, 156 S.W. 197 (1913).

A general appropriation bill cannot repeal, modify or amend an existing general law. Linden vs. Finley, 92 Tex. 451, 49 S.W. 578 (1899); State vs. Steele, 57 Tex. 203 (1882).

Appropriations must be supported by pre-existing law.

Austin National Bank vs. Sheppard, 123 Tex. 272, 71 S.W.2d 242 (1934);

Fort Worth Cavalry Club vs. Sheppard, 125 Tex. 339, 83 S.W.2d 660;

State vs. Steck Co., 236 S.W.2d 866 (Tex.Civ.App. 1951, error ref.).

The foregoing principles applicable to appropriations by the Legislature are discussed in more detail in Attorneys General opinions V-1253 (1951), V-1254 (1951) and WW-96 (1957). In determining the validity of Item 22 above quoted, we must examine the pre-existing law applicable to the Texas Highway Department applying the foregoing principles of constitutional law summarized above.

Hon. D. C. Greer, page 3 (M-108)

Broad powers and authority have been given the Texas Highway Department to maintain the various state highways in Texas by the provisions of Title 116 of the Revised Civil Statutes of Texas, 1925, (Articles 6663 through 6701k, Vernon's Civil Statutes).

In construing the provisions of Article 670ld, Vernon's Civil Statutes, the Supreme Court stated in Gann vs. Keith, 253 S.W.2d 413, 417 (1952);

"...Article 670ld is a very comprehensive law, and the act shows upon its face that it was intended as a comprehensive code for the regulation of traffic on highways, and was considered by the Legislature as both a civil and a criminal statute. The intent of the Legislature is clearly shown by Section 154, which reads: 'This Act may be cited as the Uniform Act Regulating Traffic on Highways.' The Act shows that it was intended to cover and regulate traffic in every respect on the highways of the State..."

Section 30 of Article 6701d specifically authorizes the State Highway Department to place and maintain traffic control devices upon all state highways as it may deem necessary to regulate warn or guide traffic. The highway system includes not only state highways outside the corporate limits of cities and towns, but also includes such roads and streets specifically designated by the Department as a part of the highway system. Attorneys General opinions V-1115 (1950), V-1514 (1952) and WW-1486 (1962).

In view of the foregoing, it is our opinion that Item 22 of the appropriation to the Texas Highway Department contained in Senate Bill 15, Acts of the 60th Legislature, Regular Session, 1967, for the year ending August 31, 1968, constitutes an appropriation supported by pre-existing law for the purpose of enabling the Texas Highway Department to carry out a portion of its duties imposed by law. You are therefore advised that such item of appropriation is constitutional and valid. Therefore, such funds may be expended for grade crossing protective devices on those crossings on high-ways, roads and streets officially designated by the Texas Highway Department as a part of the highway system.

## SUMMARY

Item 22 of the appropriation to the Texas Highway Department contained in Senate Bill 15, Acts of the 60th Legislature, Regular Session, 1967, for the year ending August 31, 1968, is

## Hon. D. C. Greer, page 4 (M-108)

constitutional and may be expended for the purposes named therein on those graded crossings on such highway roads and streets officially designated by the Department as a part of the highway system.

Very truly yours,

CRAWFORD C. MARTIN

Attorney General of Texas

Prepared by John Reeves
Assistant Attorney General

APPROVED: OPINION COMMITTEE

Hawthorne Phillips, Chairman Kerns Taylor, Co-Chairman W. O. Shultz Watson Arnold Ben Harrison Tom Thurmond

A. J. CARUBBI, JR. Staff Legal Assistant