SENATE COMMITTEE ON NATURAL RESOURCES

SENATOR KIP AVERITT, Chairman SENATOR CRAIG ESTES Vice Chairman SENATOR KIM BRIMER SENATOR ROBERT DEUELL SENATOR ROBERT DUNCAN

RECEIVED



SENATOR KEVIN ELTIFE
SENATOR GLEN HEGAR
SENATOR JUAN "CHUY" HINOJOSA
SENATOR MIKE JACKSON
SENATOR KEL SELIGER
SENATOR CARLOS URESTI

AUG 26 2009 OPINION COMMITTEE

FILE #ML-46152-09 I.D. # 46152

August 19, 2009

The Honorable Greg Abbott Attorney General of Texas P.O. Box 12548 Austin, Texas 78711-2548

RQ-0817-GA

Dear General Abbott:

As chairman of the Senate Committee on Natural Resources, I am submitting the enclosed request for an Attorney General's opinion on behalf of Senator Carlos Uresti. Senator Uresti, who is a member of the Senate Committee on Natural Resources, was approached by a constituent regarding this inquiry. The request relates to jurisdiction of groundwater conservation districts.

Thank you for your consideration of this request. If you have questions or if I may be of any assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Kip Averitt

Enclosures

cc: The Honorable Carlos Uresti

Ms. Janet Adams

CAPITOL OFFICE
P.O. BOX 12068
ROOM E1.810
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711
(512) 463-0119
FAX: (512) 463-1017
DIAL 711 FOR RELAY CALLS

EAGLE PASS DISTRICT OFFICE MAVERICK COUNTY COURTHOUSE 501 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 114 EAGLE PASS, TEXAS 78852 (830) 758-0294 FAX: (830) 758-0402 The Senate of The State of Texas



Senator Carlos Hresti District 19 SAN ANTONIO DISTRICT OFFICE
FALCON INTERNATIONAL BANK
2530 SW MILITARY DR., SUITE 103
SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS 78224
(210) 932-2568
FAX: (210) 932-2572
DIAL TOLL FREE: 1-800-459-0119

PECOS DISTRICT OFFICE
REEVES COUNTY COURTHOUSE
100 EAST 4TH STREET, SUITE 100
PECOS, TEXAS 79772
(432) 447-0270

August 10, 2009

The Honorable Greg Abbott Attorney General of Texas 209 W. 14th Street Austin TX 78701

Dear Attorney General Abbott:

This letter is to request an Attorney General opinion on the status of land annexed into a groundwater conservation district and later included in the territory of a new groundwater conservation district. Groundwater Conservation Districts may add territory either by petition of the owner of the land to be added (Sec. 12.21 Water Code) or by petition of the lesser of 50 landowners or a majority of landowners in the territory to be added (Sec. 36.325, Water Code). Territory may also be added by action of the legislature, or the legislature may create a new district over territory already included in all existing district. See Act of May 24, 1989, 71st Leg., R.S., ch. 653, § 3, 1989 Tex. Sess. Law Serv. 2753 (creating the Santa Rita Underground Water Conservation District, but excluding territory already annexed into the Glasscock Underground Water Conservation District). The second of the Conservation District without mentioning territory already annexed into the Panhandle Groundwater Conservation District without mentioning territory already annexed into the Panhandle Groundwater Conservation District without mentioning territory already annexed into the Panhandle Groundwater Conservation District without mentioning territory already annexed into the Panhandle Groundwater Conservation District without mentioning territory already annexed into the Panhandle Groundwater Conservation District without mentioning territory already annexed into the Panhandle Groundwater Conservation District without mentioning territory already annexed into the Panhandle Groundwater Conservation District without mentioning territory already annexed into the Panhandle Groundwater Conservation District without mentioning territory already annexed into the Panhandle Groundwater Conservation District without mentioning territory already annexed into the Panhandle Groundwater Conservation District without mentioning territory already annexed into the Panhandle Groundwater Conservation District without mention of the Conservation District

This situation has occurred in several meas of the state specifically the following instances:

• Territory in Brewster County and Pecos County was added to the Jeff Davis
Underground Water to a servation District through a petition by the landowner, and then
the legislature breated the Browster Jounty Great Water Conservation District covering
all of Brewster County and the Middle Pecos Ground water Conservation District
covering all of Pecos County and Territory and District

Groundwater conservation districts have not required elections in cases where a single landowner petitions to join the district, presumably because fliest is the reason to field an election where only one and owner filed a petition. However, it is possible that enter where the petition have an interest in adding that tentroly to a district, such as tenant farmers, may reside on properly twice by the petitioner, and therefore may desire that an election be held even in the case of a single landowner petition.



- Territory in Presidio County was added to the Jeff Davis Underground Water Conservation District through a petition by the landowner, and then the legislature created the Presidio County Groundwater Conservation District covering all of Presidio County
- Territory in Hemphill County was added to the Panhandle Groundwater Conservation District through a petition by the landowner, and then the legislature created the Hemphill Groundwater Conservation District covering all of Hemphill County.
- Ninety-six landowners petitioned to add it ritory in Caldwell County to the Gonzales
 County Underground Water Conservation District, and soon after individual landowners
 within that territory petitioned to join the Plain Creek Conservation District; both districts
 approved the respective petitions and the Conzales County Underground Water
 Conservation District conducted an electron on May 10, 2008 where the voters approved
 adding the territory to their district.

There are essentially three possible outcomes for each affected property: 1) the property is in the original district; 2) the property is in the new district; or 3) the property is in both districts. There are both legal and policy arguments for each of the three outcomes, or the outcomes may be different for each situation based on the thets of that situation. This letter is not advocating any particular result, but is simply setking your opinion.

Sincerely

CARLOS I. URESTI

